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## PREFATORY NOTE

The following work deals with the personality, language, and versification of Denis Piramus, the author of La vie Seint Edmunt. In the course of the study the question is raised as to whether Denis Piramus may have been a poet of continental origin who, like Frère Angier and possibly Marie de France, adopted the Anglo-Norman traits found in his language during a stay in England.

I take pleasure in expressing my indebtedness to Professor T. A. Jenkins of the University of Chicago for his aid and guidance in the pursuance of this work. I also wish to make grateful acknowledgment to Professor W. A. Nitze and Professor K. Pietsch of the same university for valuable suggestions and advice.
H. E. H.

University of Montana
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## DENIS PIRAMUS: "LA VIE SEINT EDMUNT"

The only known MS extant of La vie Seint Edmunt of Denis Piramus is in the British Museum (Cott. MS Domit. A. XI) written in a hand of the thirteenth century. ${ }^{1}$ The Vie Seint Edmunt numbers three complete editions: ${ }^{2}$ the first by Thomas Arnold, in the Memorials of St. Edmund's Abbey, 1892, in the Rolls Series, ${ }^{3}$ the second by Florence Leftwich Ravenel in Bryn Mawr College Monographs, 1906; ${ }^{4}$ the third is included in the Corolla Sancti Eadmundi edited by Lord Francis Hervey, New York, 1907. This is based upon a new copy of the MS made under the supervision of Mr. J. A. Herbert of the British Museum. ${ }^{6}$

The present work on the language of Denis Piramus rests mainly upon Lord Hervey's edition; the two others have also been carefully compared.

As it is stated by the author (ll. 3261 ff .), the French Life of Saint Edmund is a translation from English and Latin originals. The Latin sources most probably are:

1. From the end of the prologue to l. 432, Geoffrey of Monmouth, Historia regum Britanniae, chiefly Book I, 16; Book XII, 15, 16, 19; Book VI, 15, 16, etc.; and Abbo of Fleury's Passio. ${ }^{6}$
2. From II. 433 to 2018, De infantia Sancti Eadmundi, by Galfridus de Fontibus, written in the time of Abbot Ording, between 1148 and 1156 . $^{7}$

[^0]3. From ll. 2019 to 3260, Passio Sancti Eadmundi, by Abbo of Fleury, composed near the end of the eleventh century. ${ }^{1}$
4. From Il. 3261 to 3696, Liber de miraculis Sancti Eadmundi, by Herman the archdeacon, who probably was a monk at Bury. ${ }^{2}$
5. From 1. 3697 to the end, Denis Piramus gives an account of Sweyn's invasion which is different from that of Herman. Outside of the Saxon Chronicles and Florence mentioned by Arnold, ${ }^{8}$ he may have drawn his material from Symeon of Durham, Historia regum, ${ }^{4}$ or from Henry, archdeacon of Huntingdon, ${ }^{5}$ or finally from a compilation made between 1148 and 1161 and known in the monastic world as the Historia Saxonum vel Anglorum post obitum Bedae. ${ }^{6}$

Thus far nothing is known of the English sources which Denis Piramus may have used. We have, however, in old English, a version of Abbo de Fleury's Passion of Saint Edmund by Aelfric, edited by Skeat, Early English Text Society, 1900, and reprinted in Lord Francis Hervey's Corolla Sancti Eadmundi, p. 60; yet, judging from the contents, the Latin original is the more probable source. As regards some possible English source of the De infantia, Arnold remarks that "there must have been an English version of the Infancy lying before him, which is not now extant. This English Life may perhaps be indicated by some one among the titles of the works on the Edmundian story, not now existing, which are written on the margin of MS. Bodl. 240, ${ }^{8}$ e.g., the book of Bliburgh or Alia Legenda, or Nicholaus of Warengford, or H. Norwicensis."

We have no right to question the author's own statement as regards his use of English sources. Various details and passages which do not occur in the Latin may possibly have stood in the English. Considering the Latin sources only, Denis appears to have

[^1]made a clever paraphrase of his original, and a good part of originality in handling his material must therefore be conceded to him. While he preserves the main outline, Denis adds interesting details or passages, as for instance, descriptions of sea-voyages (cf. ll. 175-218, 1365-1492, 2029-52, etc.), of battles (cf. ll. 3749-3849, etc.) enumerations (cf. 11. 83, 811, 965, 2877, etc.), dialogues (cf. 11. 857, 925, 1015, 1308, 1332, etc.); he introduces appropriate changes (cf. the messenger's speech and Edmund's reply, ll. 2247, 2303, 2319), and, as was to be expected in a work which was intended primarily to be recited, Denis indulges in lengthy narratives and in repetitions.

With regard to the foundation of St. Edmund's legend, Lord Hervey's illuminating preface to the Corolla Sancti Eadmundi ought to be consulted.

The Abbey of St. Edmund's Bury, a convent of Benedictine monks, became so prominent that most chroniclers between the eleventh and fifteenth centuries make mention of it. ${ }^{1}$ Its celebrity was not confined to England: Crestien de Troyes bears witness to this fact in the prologue to Guillaume d'Angleterre (11. 11-17):

Qui les estoires d'Angleterre
Voldroit ancerchier et anquerre,
Une, qui mout fet bien a croire
Par ce que pleisanz est et voire,
An troveroit a Saint Esmoing. [variant: Esmont C.]
Se nus m'an demande tesmoing, La l'aille querre se il viaut.
Wace also mentions it in several passages:
Cil de Surree e de Sussesse
De Saint Edmunt e de Sufoc
E de Norwiz e de Norfoc.
-R. de Rou, III, 11. 7736-38.
and also with regard to Sweyn's death:
Ceo dient cil de Saint Aedmund, Ki en lur livres escrit l'unt,
Ke Saint Aedmund le flaela
Pur ses terres, que il greva.
-R. de Rou, III, 11. 1315-18.
${ }^{1}$ C1. Memorials, I, xil. Only facte which concern the 12 th century and bear upon our subject are mentioned here.

Jordan Fantosme tells us:
Kar n'ad meillur viandier de Saint Edmund en terre.
-Chronique, 1005.
Crestien de Troyes mentions St. Edmund's Abbey as the place where his estoire was found, and he adds: La matiere si me conta, Uns miens conpainz, Rogiers, li cointes, Qui de maint preudome est acointes (Guillaume d'Angleterre, ll. 3364-66). As to Crestien's reference to the English monastery, Foerster is of the opinion that it is "eine ganz allgemeine: da der Held ein König von England sein soll, so verweist er die Zweifler an das englische Königsarchiv, genau so wie ein Spielmann in einem karolingischen Heldengedicht seine Zuhörer auf die Chroniken von St. Denis verweist." 1 In regard to Rogier, Gröber supposed him to be the poet Rogier de Lisais. ${ }^{2}$ Crestien's statement, however, could be taken literally, and Rogier may have been a wandering clerk, an inmate of St. Edmund's Abbey itself, for the following reasons:

In $1182^{3}$ there was living at St. Edmund's Abbey a monk by the name of Rogerus de Hingham, or Hengheham, who was acting in the capacity of cellerarius. Toward 1159-1162' this Rogerus went to Rome in company of Samson, the future abbot. About 1160-61, or rather as Arnold thinks toward 1170, ${ }^{5}$ Rogerus together with Samson, Dionisius, and Hugo are said to have been sent into exile to the priory of Castle Acre, founded by William de Warenne, first Earl of Surrey.

In view of these facts, either of two suggestions may be made: first, Rogerus, a Bury monk, on his way to Rome may have traveled through Flanders and stopped at the court of Thierri, or of Matthew, or of Philip, where he could have met the author of Yvain and acquainted the latter with St. Edmund's Abbey, the estoire, and with English place-names; second, in consideration of the fact that the House of Flanders was related to the Warennes, ${ }^{6}$ Crestien may have

[^2]followed some prince connected with the Warennes over to England and resided for some time at Castle Acre where he came in contact with Rogerus then in exile. However this may be, the probable presence in Flanders or Champagne of Rogerus, a clerk of St. Edmund's Abbey at the time when Guillaume d'Angleterre was presumably written, is certainly significant.

St. Edmund's Abbey was above all a place where people went on pilgrimage. To mention only the frequent royal visits: in 1157, Henry II was crowned at Bury St. Edmund, the same king went there again in 1177, and also in 1188. ${ }^{1}$ King John visited Bury in 1199, shortly after his coronation, and, says Jocelin, ${ }^{2}$ Hospitium suscepit, magnis celebratum expensis. King John paid other visits in 1201 and in 1203. ${ }^{3}$ In fact, the foundation and the subsequent growth of St. Edmund's Bury were mostly due to the generosity of English kings: Edmund, Canute, Edward the Confessor, William the Conqueror, and Stephen. ${ }^{4}$

Under such circumstances, close relations must have existed between the English kings and the Abbey, and the inmates of the convent may have been intimately connected at some time with the court and may of course have been of the same nationality as the kings. For, as J. H. Ramsay remarks: "not only were all the upper classes of society essentially French, but their ranks were perpetually being recruited by foreigners imported from abroad. These people entered every chapter and convent, they filled the Episcopate, the Treasury, and the Bench, and found themselves completely at home there."

The latter statement is especially applicable, as it seems, to St. Edmund's Abbey. Some of the abbots who lived during the period that interests us are as follows: Baldwin (1065-98), the physician of Edward the Confessor, came from St. Denis, near Paris; Robert (1100), a son of Henry I's cousin, Hugh Lupus, was a monk of

[^3]St. Evroult, in Normandy; Albold (1114-19) had been a prior of St. Nicasius, at Meaux; Anselm (1121-48) was a nephew of Saint Anselm; Galfridus, in the De infantia Sancti Eadmundi, mentions that Ording, the next abbot (1148-56), was "attendant on the person of the king from boyhood." Arnold conjectures that the king referred to must have been Stephen of Blois. ${ }^{1}$ Abbot Samson (11821211), whose life Thomas Carlyle retold so vividly in Past and Present, is said to have been confessor to King Henry II. ${ }^{2}$ But the relations of the St. Edmund's monks and the kings of England are illustrated best in Jocelin's Chronicles and in the Electio Hugonis, both of which record the interference of Henry II, and later of King John, with the elections of Abbot Samson and of Abbot Hugh (1215). ${ }^{3}$

In 1193, when Richard I was in captivity in Germany, Abbot Samson visited the king and brought him many presents. ${ }^{4}$

That St. Edmund's Abbey was famous for its library, we have already learned from Crestien de Troyes. From Jocelin's interesting Chronicles it appears that the Latin classics were read by the monks. In Jocelin's work, along with frequent allusions to the Scriptures, there are quotations from Terence's Phormio, Horace's Odes, Epodes, Ars poetica, Ovid's Metamorphoses, Tristia, Ars amatoria, Heroides, Lucan's Pharsalia, Virgil's Aeneid, and Cicero's Tusculanae disputationes. Needy clerks or scholars were also welcomed by Abbot Samson and found a pleasant home at the Benedictine Abbey. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

The authorship of La vie Seint Edmunt is claimed by Denis Piramus in two passages in his poem (ll. 16, 3279). Denis Piramus was at one time regarded as the author of the important romance Partonopeus de Blois,' but it was shown long ago that this was an error due to a misinterpretation. ${ }^{7}$ Furthermore, a comparison of the language of La vie Seint Edmunt with that of Partonopeus would show that La vie Seint Edmunt and Partonopeus could not have been

[^4]written by the same author. Of Denis' other poems alluded to in ll. 5 and 7, none, so far as we know, have come down to us. All that is now known about Denis Piramus is found in the prologue of his poem (ll. 1-94), and in another prologue to the second part which apparently was left unfinished (ll. 3261-86). These two interesting prologues are re-edited here:

> I (II. 1-94)

Mult ai use cume pechiere
Ma vie en trop fole maniere, E trop [par] ai usé ma vie [ $E$ ] en pechie $e$ en folie.
5 Kant curt hanteie of les curties, Si feseie les servanteis, Chanceunetes, rimes, saluz Entre les drues e les druz; Mult me penai de tels vers fere,
10 K'assemble les petisse trere E k'ensemble fussent justez Pur accomplir lur volentez. Ceo me fist fere l'enemi, Si me tinc ore a malbaili.
15 James ne me burdera plus. Jeo ai nun Denis Piramus; Les jurs jolis de ma joenesce S'en vunt, si trei jeo a veilesce; Si est bien dreit ke me repente;
20 En altre ovre mettrai m'entente Ke mult mieldre est a plus nutable.
[Si] Deus m'ait espiritable, El la grace Seint Espirit
Seit ovek mei esi [ $m^{\prime}$ ] aitl!
Cil ki Partonope trova
E ki les vers fist e rima, [Forment] se pena de bien dire;

Si dist bien de cele matire Cume de fable e de menceunge;
30 La matire ressemble sunge, Kar ceo ne pout unkes [mais] estre;
Si est il tenu pur bon mestre, E les vers [en] sunt mult amez
E en cez riches curz loëz.
35 E Dame Marie altresi
Ki en rime fist e basti
E compassa les vers de lais
Ke ne sunt pas de tut verais;
Esi en est el mult loée
40 E la rime par tut amée,
Kar mult l'aiment, si l'unt mult chier
Cunte, barun e chevalier;
E si en aiment mult l'escrit, E lirel funt, si unt delit,
45 E si le funt sovent retreire.
Les lais suelent as dames pleire;
Les oient de joie e de gré,
Qu'il sunt sulum lur volente.
Li rei li prince e li curtur,
50 Cunte, barun e vavassur
Aiment cuntes, chanceuns e fables
E bons diz qui sunt delitables,

MS 1 cum, pechere; 2 manere; 4 peche; 5 courte, hantey; 6 fesei; 7 chanceunettes; 9 teles; 10 puise, treire; 13 fit; 14 tynt; 15 burderay; 16 noun; 17 jolifs, joefnesce; 20 metterai; 22 Dieus, me ayde; 24 of, moy; 27 mult; 28 il; 29 cum, menteonge; 30 resemble, suonge; 31 put; 34 ces, curtes; 35 autresi; 37 compensa (see List of Words); 39 ele; 41 cher; 42 cunt, chivaler; 44 lire le; 45 les; 46 soleient; 47 De joye les oyent; 49 courtur; 50 cunt, vavasur; 52 bon, dilitables;

Kar il ostent e getent puer
Doel, enui e travail de quer,
55 E si funt ires ubliër
$\mathbf{E}$ del quer ostent le penser.
Kant cil e vus, segnur trestuit,
Amez tel ovre e tel deduit, Si vus volez entendre a mei,
60 Jeo vus dirai par dreite fei
Un deduit qui mielz valt asez
Ke cez altres ke tant amez,
E plus delitable a oir;
Si purrez les almes garir
65 E les cors garantir de hunte.
Mult deit hom bien oir tel cunte;
Hom deit mult mielz a sen entendre
K'en folie le tens despendre.
Un deduit par vers vus dirai
70 Ke sunt de sen e si verai
K'unkes rien ne pout plus veir estre,
Kar bien le virent nostre ancestre,
E nus en aprés d'eir en eir

Avum bien veũ que c'est veir,
75 Kar a noz tens est avenu
De ceste oevre meinte vertu.
Ceo que hom veit, ceo deit hom creire,
Kar ceo n'est pas sunge n'arveire
Les vers que vus dirai si sunt
80 Des enfances de Seint Edmunt E des miracles altresi;
Unkes hom plus beals n'[en] oì.
Rei, duc, prince e emperetur, Cunte, barun e vavassur
85 Deivent bien a ceste oevre entendre,
Kar bon ensample i purrunt prendre.
Reis deit bien oir d'altre rei El'ensample tenir a sei, E duc de duc e quens de cunte,
90 Kant la reisun a bien amunte.
Les bones genz deivent amer
D'oir retreire e recunter
Des bones gestes les estoires E retenir en lur memories.

II (11. 3261-86)

Translaté avum l'aventure, Solum le livre e l'escripture, De Seint Edmund, coment il vint
En Engleterre que il tint,
3265 Dunt rei fu tant cum il vesqui, E del martire qu'il sufri. Translaté l'ai desqu'a la fin E de l'engleis e del latin

Qu'en franceis le puissent entendre
3270 li grant, [li meien] e li mendre.
Uncor volum avant aler E les granz miracles cunter Que nostre sire Jhesu Crist Pur sue amur mustra e fist.
3275 Dit en ai [une] grant partie
En sun martire e en sa vie,

53 hostent, gettent, penser; 54 travaile; 56 hostent; 60 dreit; 61 milez; 62 ces, autres; $\mathbf{6 5}$ garaunter; $\mathbf{6 6}$ homme; $\mathbf{6 7}$ homme; 69 dedut, dirray; $\mathbf{7 0}$ verray; $\mathbf{7 3}$ de eyr; 74 ceo est; 75 nos, aveneu; 76 cestre, verteu; 77 crere; 78 ne arveire; 79 dirray; 80 enfantes; 81 de, autresi; 82 homme, ne oy; 83 emperur; 84 cunt, vavasur; 86 il purrunt; 87 rei, de autre; 91 bons; 92 de oir; 93 bons gestes e les estoyres; 94 e retenir e lur.

MS 3264 Engletere; 3265 vesquit; 3266 martir, suffrit; 3267 desque; 3269 que en, poent; 3270 E li grant e li mendre (6 syll.); 3271 uncore; 3274 s'amur ( 7 syll.);

## Meis ore vus dirai la sume;

Nel tinc pas a fais n'a grant sume.
Denis Piramus kil translate
3280 Nel tient pas a fais n'a barate.
LiSeint Espirit me[dunt grace]

Ke jeo resnablement la face, E gre me sache de ma peine E Deus e Seint Edmund demeine
3285 E de l'eglise li segnur Ki m'unt enchargié cest labur!

3277 dirrai, summe; 3278 tint, ne, a summe; 3279 ad translate; 3280 ne a baratte; 3281 me seit grante; 3282 renablement; 3283 sace; 3284 Dieus; 3286 me unt.

The name Piramus coming from the classical story of Piramus and Thisbe already occurs in Crestien de Troyes, Lancelot 3821; otherwise this name is unusual. It appears also, however, in Geoffrey of Monmouth's Historia regum (IX, 8), where we are told about a "Piramus capellanus," Arthur's chaplain; Wace (Brut 9842) reproduces it as Pyram. It is found also as Pyrannus in Matthew of Westminster, as Pyramos in the Pseudo-Gildas. ${ }^{1}$ Piramus may possibly be a variant of Piranus: St. Piranus, in turn, seems to be identical with the Irish saint, Kiranus. ${ }^{2}$ We also find mention of a "Hugo Pirramus and Idonia his wife," as Ward pointed out, in the Rotuli curiae regis for 1199-1200. ${ }^{3}$ It is, therefore, evident that Piramus with the Latin ending was used as a family name. ${ }^{4}$

Was Denis Piramus a courtier, a good knight, and a clever versifier of light songs who repented in his later years? Was he a clerk serving at court in the capacity of tutor or chaplain to some noble man or noble lady? Did he later withdraw from the court, possibly at the time of Henry II's troubles with Becket, and take refuge in St. Edmund's Abbey? Was he a wandering trouvère who finally stranded at St. Edmund's and was given a lodging there?

Judging from the contents of his Vie Seint Edmunt, Denis Piramus appears to have been a man of attainments. Not to mention his literary activities at court and his familiarity with Marie's Lais and with Partonopeus de Blois, Denis was conversant with Latin

[^5]and English (cf. l. 3268). His enthusiastic eulogy of la clergie (cf. ll. 1581-88) and his use of words such as besorder, tresuasez (cf. List of Words) may also point to a clerk.

Denis claims (1. 3285) that li segnur de l'eglise engaged him to write his work. The eglise referred to is evidently St. Edmund's Abbey. There he could most readily obtain the necessary data concerning St. Edmund's life. Jocelin ${ }^{1}$ speaks of it in the same termsecclesia Sancti Eadmundi. "Li segnur" (Domini ecclesiae) were apparently the abbot, the prior, and the sub-prior, in short, the heads of the abbey.

The poem was apparently intended to be read or recited (cf. ore oëz, Cristiene gent 95 , and so ll. 3074, 60, 79, 126, 3320, etc.) to an audience of nobles (cf. ll. 49-65). It was translated from the English and Latin:

Qu'en franceis le puissent entendre Li grant, [li meien] e li mendre.
The intention of the segnurs is obvious: the translation may be said to have been ordered with a view to acquaint the Norman, Angevin, or Poitevin nobles with an English saint and martyr's life and deeds. The Abbey had become a well-known place of pilgrimage and was accustomed to receive guests of note. Was the Life written and read on the occasion of the visit of a particular prince? This is not impossible. A reading in the royal guests' language on St. Edmund's life would appear to be a most appropriate entertainment: it would advertise the abbey and prompt the French-speaking listeners to make valuable gifts.

To obtain further data about Denis, we should naturally examine closely the collected "Memorials of St. Edmund's Abbey." But as Arnold remarked, ${ }^{2}$ "the history of the community, and of any remarkable men who may have arisen in it from age to age is less easily ascertained"; and, further, "we rarely obtain any insight into the characters of the individual men who carried on the work from generation to generation." Yet it has escaped notice that mention is made of a certain "Magister Dionisius" in Jocelin's Chronicles, ${ }^{\text { }}$ where events are recorded which took place in the Abbey between 1173 and 1202, in the time of Abbots Hugh and Samson.

[^6]The omission of the surname "Piramus" need not surprise us. In Jocelin's Chronicles, the monks are usually mentioned by their first names only, for instance: Ricardus, Jocelinus, Robertus. This Magister Dionisius rose to such importance as to become a rival of Magister Samson at the time of the election of a new abbot, in 1182. He is spoken of on several occasions:

About 1173, during Abbot Hugh's time, Dionisius is said to have just returned from banishment-possibly from the priory of Castle Acre, a Cluniac institution, if my interpretation of Samson's speech be right-where he had been sent, along with Samson and others, because, as Samson is reported to have said, "locuti sumus pro communi bono ecclesiae nostrae contra voluntatem abbatis." ${ }^{1}$

In 1176 Dionisius performed the office of "cellerarius" and he is said to have reduced the convent debt "per providentiam suam et cautelam."

In 1182 Dionisius was one of twelve monks who appeared before Henry II at Waltham for the purpose of electing a new abbot. At the king's order, the monks nominated three candidates. Samson was one of these, but as the king did not know any of the three, he bade them nominate three others, and so the nomination of Dionisius ensued. Later on, Samson and the prior were left as the only suitable nominees. Dionisius, acting as spokesman for all the deputies, commended both of them, but "semper in angulo sui sermonis Samsonem protulit," whereupon Samson was elected. ${ }^{3}$

The last mention of Dionisius appears in 1200. Here he is plainly opposed to Abbot Samson's misdeeds. It is recorded that Samson had sold a certain office to one of his own servants, and to quote Jocelin's words: "Unde et magistro Dionisio monacho dicenti, tale factum inauditum esse, respondit abbas, 'Non desinam facere voluntatem meam magis pro te, quam pro juvencello illo.' " 4 There is also a further mention of Magister Dionisius as appearing before the Curia regis in 1191. ${ }^{6}$

It seems permissible to identify Dionisius of the Chronicles with Denis Piramus of La vie Seint Edmunt for the following reasons:

[^7]1. The dates offer no objection. Denis may have left the Court and have entered St. Edmund's Abbey when Henry II had troubles with the church and Becket, in or about 1170.
2. In the Chronicles, Dionisius is mentioned as "Magister" and "Monachus," while in La vie Seint Edmunt, Denis Piramus appears to have been a court poet in his youth, and, later, a clerk and a scholar who wrote his poem at the request of the heads of the abbey.
3. In 1200, Dionisius must have been an elderly person, and Samson's reply leads one to make the same inference, since Dionisius is contrasted with a "juvencellus." In La vie Seint Edmunt, Denis Piramus tells us:

Les jurs jolis de ma joenesce
S'en vunt, si trei jeo a veilesce.
4. Dionisius and Denis Piramus stand out as upright, worthy persons. If, at the time of Abbot Samson's election, the proceedings at court were carried on in French, Dionisius may have been chosen as spokesman by his fellow-monks on account of his fluency in French, and because of his self-confidence, acquired during his stay at court.
5. It is also possible to admit that because of the friction which arose between him and Abbot Samson in or before 1220, Dionisius, if he be the same person as Denis Piramus, lost interest in his work, and, contrary to the desire of his segnurs, left unfinished the second part of his poem.

After 1200 no more is heard of Dionisius. In a list which mentions all the monks- 62 in all-who stood for or against the election of Abbot Hugo, in 1214, the name Dionisius does not appear. ${ }^{1}$ It may, therefore, be conjectured that Magister Dionisius either left the abbey or, what is more probable, died before 1214.

In the prologue we are told something about the author's stay at court and about his writing love-songs for the nobles. Apparently the court Denis refers to was that of Henry II and Alienor; it is less likely that he refers to some baronial house of the period.

The genres of poetry which Denis claims to have written are worth examining. They plainly denote a Provençal origin or influence, and, considering the social relations between Anjou and

[^8]Aquitaine, these paems are such as one might expect to find at the court of Anjou.

According to Gröber ${ }^{1}$ serventeis, chansonetes (rimes), et saluz are supposed to mean "lyrische Texte von höfischer Art." The serventeis, to quote P. Meyer" "parait désigner d'abord des poesies d'agrément, non pas, comme plus tard, des chansons religieuses. Comme en provençal, on a appliqué cette dénomination à des chansons ayant un caractère politique." "Poesie d'agrément" is evidently what serventeis means to Denis. It is found with this meaning as early as Wace and as late as Eustache Deschamps, who leaves out the serventeis in his Art de dictier, "pour ce que c'est ouvrage qui se porte aux puis d'amours et que nobles hommes n'ont pas acoustume de ce faire." As regards the origin of the serventeis P. Meyer remarks that "le mot a do être cré dans le Midi," and further adds, "s'il en est ainsi, le mot serventeis serait l'un des plus anciens exemples de l'influence de la poesie des troubadours sur celle des trouvères." To write serventeis, as it seems, was a common thing early in the West, and Wace's verses justify this view:

Mais or puis je lunges penser, Livres escrire e translater, Faire rumanz e serventeis.

> —R. de Rou, III, II. 151-53.

Similarly in R. de Rou, II, 1. 4148. As is known, Richard Cœur de Lion, the son of Aliénor, composed some. ${ }^{4}$ Denis Piramus appears to have been one of the first western writers to mention these lyrical poems.

The Salut, or Salut d'amour, is defined by Raynouard ${ }^{5}$ as "une pièce qui commençait par une salutation à la dame dont le poète faisait l'eloge." This genre is common to both French and Provençal literatures, but, as $P$. Meyer thinks, ${ }^{6}$ it is unknown elsewhere, and, to quote his words, "je doute même qu'il ait ête fréquent en Angleterre." He adds in a note, "le seul texte anglo-normand que
${ }^{1}$ C1. Grundriss, II, 661.
${ }^{2}$ CY. Romania, XIX, $28,29$.

- C1. GFuores, p. p. G. Raynaud, VII, 281.
- Cr. Grundrise, II, 661, 675.
- CR. Poésies des troubadours, II, 258.
- C1. Bibliothaque de l'école des chartes, 60 serie, III (1867), p. 124.
j'aie recontré sur les Saluts est d'un poète du XIII ${ }^{e}$ siècle, Denis Piramus." The Salut appeared first in Provenģal in the twelfth century (before Rambaud d'Orange), and in French poetry a century later. ${ }^{1}$

In Provenģal, the chansonette ${ }^{2}$ appears with the first troubadour poet, William IX, Count of Poitiers, and later with Peirol, Raimon de Miraval. ${ }^{8}$ In French, mention of it is made by Crestien de Troyes:

> Aussi con maint home divers
> Pueent ou chancenete ou vers
> Chanter a une concordance.
-Cliges, 11. 2843-45.
We have chansonettes composed by Guiot de Provins, a protégé of William V, Count of Mâcon, by an anonymous author, and later by Raoul de Soissons, a friend of King Thiébaut of Navarre, ${ }^{4}$ etc.

According to F. Wolf, rime appears to denote the octosyllabic riming couplet, and he thinks, "es scheint dass sie [the trouvères] durch rime vorzugsweise diese kurzen höfischen Reimpaare und die in dieser Form abgefassten Gedichte überhaupt bezeichnet haben."5 Wolf admits that rimes in our poem is represented "als eine eigene besondere [Form]," but he adds "worunter wohl nur die höfischen Reimpaare zu verstehen sind." Yet rimes may have here a technical meaning and may refer to a special genre of love-song or light poem. The following instances would tend to support such a contention:

[^9]In Provençal, rim, rima, and rimeta have the meaning of "poème," "petit poème." Rimeta occurs as early as Rambaud d'Orange: En aital rimeta prima M'agradon leu mot e prim.
-Raynouard, Lex. roman.
In Spanish, and Italian, as is known, rima, pl. rimas, rime, may refer to a metrical composition.

Our author's testimony as to the popularity of Partonopeus de Blois and of Marie's Lais corroborates the assumption that Henry II's court is the one referred to here. It was no doubt in courtly circles that Denis obtained his familiarity with the works of his contemporaries. As regards Marie de France, we know now with a high degree of probability in what relations she stood to Henry II and the royal family, ${ }^{1}$ and we may suppose that her Lais were in vogue during the latter part of her half-brother's reign. Was Denis a fellow-poet in the royal circles where his more gifted rivals were outshining him in wit and genius? It is not impossible, for we find that when Denis is writing La vie Seint Edmunt, it is with a bit of spite mingled with regret that he looks upon the success of Dame Marie (cf. ll. 39-40), and of him qui Partonopé trova (cf. ll. 32-34).

Is there anything outside of the language which would tend to show that Denis Piramus had sojourned in France?

Evidently La vie Seint Edmunt was composed in England, yet it seems highly probable that the author must have lived on the Continent at some time for the following reasons:

1. His acquaintance with four types of love-songs of Provençal origin would be rather remarkable otherwise.
2. His knowledge of nautical terms and his delight in dwelling on the details of sea voyages indicate that he may have crossed the Channel more than once.
3. Another remark, of more doubtful value, may also be made. Success in the lyric poetry of a cultured and literary society presupposes on the part of the poet a familiarity with the nuances of the language, and also possibly the same dialectical pronunciation as the audience he is addressing. Conon de Béthune records for us the taunts he had to endure at the Court of Philippe Auguste's mother.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. J. C. Fox, Enolish Historical Review, XXV (1910), 303-6; XXVI (1911), 317. 26; E. Faral, Romania, XXXIX, 625.

It is not too much to claim that only a young poet born of Norman or Angevin parents, whether in France or in England, would have met such requirements as these.

La vie Seint Edmunt has always been considered an AngloNorman poem. ${ }^{1}$ The presence of the following traits supports this view: (1) silencing of pretonic $e$ in hiatus; see below, $\S 71$; (2) nonagreement of the predicate adjective and participle, $\S \delta 40-43$; (3) substitution of the object for the subject not in the predicate, $\S \S 40-43$; (4) reduction of ie to $e, \S 12$; (5) use of que for $q u i, \S 53$.

Some reservations should be made for (1) and (4). As for (2) and (3), they are also found in continental writers. Here follows a summary of other linguistic traits shown by our text: (6) separation of $\varphi$ from $u, \S 8$; (7) separation of $\varphi$ from checked open $\delta$, $\S 7, \S 8$; (8) confusion of $\varphi<$ checked $\delta(\succcurlyeq)$ and $o u<$ free $\delta(\succ)$ and 0 ( đ) + प, § 8; (9) ue < short $\delta$ rimes only with itself, § 16; (10) $\delta+$ I becomes ui:nuit, $\S 17$; (11) no reduction of $u i$ to $\ddot{u}$ or $i, \S 17$; (12) $\stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{H}}+\mathrm{I}$ becomes $i:$ delit, $\S 6$; (13) $i e ̈ r<-i d e r$ rimes with $\S$ only: afiër:jurer 878, §12; (14) after $i, \bar{\zeta}<$ Latin tonic a becomes ie:otreiier, §12; (15) separation of infinitives in eir<ERE from those in $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{r}<$ ARE, $\S 10, \S 55$; (16) separation of $e i$ from $a i$, save in vait:dreit, $\S 9$, § 10; (17) ai rimes with $\varepsilon$ in the groups -aistre, -ait, -ais only, §9; (18) separation of $e<$ checked $E$ (AE) from $\underset{<}{6}$ checked $E$ ( 1 ) save before nasals, $\S 2, \S 3$; (19) separation of $e ̧$ from ẹ and $\varepsilon, \S 4$; (20) separation of $a n$ from en, $\S 18$; (21) confusion of ain and ein, but not before $\tilde{n}, \S 22$; (22) iën rimes with ien, § 23; (23) uen rimes with en, $\S 25$; (24) separation of final $-z$ and $-8, \S 30$; (25) no conclusive instance to show that $s$ has become silent before $t, \S 30 ;(26)$ no confusion of $n$ and $\tilde{n}, \S 27$; (27) disappearance of $l$ in $u l+$ con., $l^{\prime}$ in $i l^{\prime}+$ con.; no evidence as to other groups, $\S 26$; (28) no $e$ in the ind. pres. I of conj. I, §54; (29) no $e$ in the subj. pres. 3 of conj. I, §57; (30) endings $i u ̈ m$, iëz of the impf. and cond. are dissyllabic, $\S 54$; (31) save in two doubtful cases, impfs. of conj. I. do not mix with those of other conjs., §58; (32) preterite III in -ié, § 59; (33) subj. pres. in -ge, §57; (34) enclitic use of the pronoun le after a verb, $\S 70$.

[^10]The foregoing summary shows that, upon the whole, the language of Denis Piramus does not differ essentially from that of western continental poets. The phonology of our author, if it be compared with that of Anglo-Norman writers between approximately 1170 and 1210, as for instance Adgar, Fantosme, Simund de Freine, Chardri, stands out as remarkably pure.
I. In many respects Denis' language is similar to that of Marie de France. The following traits are also represented in her works: (2), (3), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), (19), (20), (21), (22), (24), (25), (26), (27), (28), (29), (30), (31).
II. Some traits appear in Benoft de Sainte-Maure: (2), (3), (4), (23), (32), (33), (34).
III. Guillaume le Clerc shows no reduction of $u i$ to $i$, (11).
IV. Partonopeus de Blois shows the confusion of ai and ei, (16).

Considering the purity of Denis' phonology, which led Suchier to include La vie Seint Edmunt in the first Anglo-Norman period, considering also the facts which have been brought out with reference to the life of the author, it may be justifiable to conjecture that Denis Piramus, like Frère Angier, was a continental who went to England in his youth. In England he may have acquired the Anglo-Norman traits found in his language (the silencing of pretonic $e$ in hiatus, and the use of que for $q u i$ ), or he may have preserved native characteristics which possibly became more marked during his stay on English soil (the reduction of $i e$ to $e$ and the disorganization of the case-flexion). We have a similar instance in Marie de France, whose language (I refer to the Espurgatoire Saint Patriz) shows to what extent the poet of the Lais fell under the influence of the AngloNorman environment.

The attempt to determine Denis' dialect thus offers some difficulties, and may appear idle. Yet another suggestion may be made: as the language of our author agrees in many respects with that of Marie de France, it may be supposed that Denis Piramus came from the same region as Marie. If the latter be the same person as the Abbess of Shaftesbury, she was probably born in Maine, as her halfbrother Henry II was. Hence, in such a case, Denis Piramus would

[^11]come from Maine. Other traits, which have been indicated above, found also in southwestern authors, would tend to corroborate this view.

However tempting this conjecture may be, it must be borne in mind that in the case of La vie Seint Edmunt we may have to deal with a literary language used skilfully by an Anglo-Norman writer and that further data on the author's life and origin are not yet available. Consequently, for the present, we do not feel warranted in excluding La vie Seint Edmunt from the Anglo-Norman dialect.

Save Suchier, who classes it with the earliest Anglo-Norman monuments, that is, in the first period (till after 1150), scholars agree in dating La vie Seint Edmunt after 1180. ${ }^{1}$

If what has been said with reference to the life of the author and to the contents of the poem be taken into consideration, namely, (1) that Denis Piramus may be identified with Magister Dionisius of Jocelin's Chronicles, whose presence in the monastery from 1173 to 1220 is recorded and who probably died before 1214; (2) that some years must have elapsed for Marie's Lais to gain their vogue (the composition of Marie's poems referred to in the Life is set by Warnke at not before 1165, by Suchier between 1160 and 1170, and by G. Paris as late as 1180), it may be assumed that La vie Seint Edmunt could hardly have been written before 1175, or, if we accept G. Paris' dating of the Lais, before 1190.

## LANGUAGE OF DENIS PIRAMUS

## Vowels

8 1. A.-Both al and $e l$ from the Latin suffix -ALus appear in our poem: real:hospital 627 :estal 731, seneschal:leal 1725 but hostel:espiritel 2855 . The MS shows tel, quel regularly.
§ 2. E.-French open $\varepsilon$ does not rime with short tere:conquere 207:guere 1424, estre:ancestre 71, teste:beste 2751, batel:damisel 1385, apres:ades 3507, descovert:apert 3967, est:est (East) 119. Out of 72 rimes, 65 are pure and 7 are mixed with ai; cf. \& 9.

8 3. $\underset{\text { E. rimes only with itself: chapelete:petitete 2829, joefnesce:veilesce }}{ }$ 17, tramettent:demettent 257, conqueste:ceste 1987, prest:conquest 277, merveil:
${ }^{1}$ Of. Ueber die "Vie de Seint Auban," p. 3; G. Paris, Romania, VIII, 38; Litt. frang. au. M.A. (1905), § 148; Grobber, Grundriss, II, 646-47; P. Meyer, Hist. litt. de la Prance. XXXIII, 346; Th. Arnold, Memorials, II, 137; Mrs. Ravenel, La vie Seint Edmund, p. 53; Voretzisch, Studium der Alffr. Lit., p. 147.
conseil 937. is found once riming with ai<a +1 fet:net <NTTidUM 661. This confusion occurs in incorrect lines, and it may be questioned whether it belongs to the author.
84. $\bar{b}$. Save in a few instances where it rimes with ie (see \& 12), is not mixed in rime with any other sound: $33,39,47,61,91,541,1451$, 2855 , etc. The imperfect of estre, ert, and erent as usual have ę: erent: doterent 197, ert:pert <PARET 2548. By the side of the usual e, this sound is represented by ee: neefes 179, deleez 3548; by ei: sueif 1522, neis 1943, melleies 3755, espeies 3756; by ie: nief 1067, clier 3029, deliez 1505, martelier 3143; by $i$ : til 656, estroyr, 3763. The MS shows miest <mansit 1569, remist 165, 2664, and remistrent 2462.
§5. Atonic e.-Before the tonic syllable: By the side of the regular spelling $e$, as in chevalerie 396, chemin 452, the following spellings are to be found: (1) a:chai 390, aparceurent 2751, orfanins 1845; (2) o:bosoigne 1196, poir 2173, roündes 309; (3) u:sulum 48, sujurner 163, sucurs 2189; (4), oi:boisoigne 630; (5) ie:sorcierie 1934; (6) i:chimin 614, gisir 763, chivals 1054, primier 1674; (7) ei:treissor 912.
§ 6. I from various sources is found in rime only with itself: vie:folie 3, pais:pis 271, pleisir:tenir 523. Latin e+1 rimes with i:escrit:delit 43:lit 1302. Latin -Ytiam and -ITIUM become ise (MS ise, ice) :eglise:justise 463: sacrefice 2505:servise 3014. Latin materinam shows matire:dire 28 and matere: artere 2709 (cf. Suchier, Voyelles toniques, \& 15a). The spelling $y$, ei, ie appear by the side of $i$ :ay 1 , ayment 51 , etc., chevalereie 396, fremierent, 3612.
87. $Q$ from Latin $A D$ and Latin checked $\delta$ rimes with itself only: or:tresor 537, fort:mort 667, choses:encloses 1785. As in most continental
 2337, cf. \& 15.

In tonic or pretonic position the usual spelling for this sound is 0 . It also appears as ou:ouré<AURATUM 190, ouwel 296, voult 840, vouer 1006; as $u$ :ublier 55, murir 875 , etc.
 and Latin $\delta(\bar{\delta})+\mathbb{Q}$ have become close $\varphi$ in our text and are found riming together: jour:gaaignoür 241, estrus:enviüs 1879, estrus:vus 727, vus:andus (MS andeus) 1085.

Here we may associate Denis with Marie (cf. Fabeln, pp. lxxxii, lxxxvi), Benoit (cf. R. de Troie, pp. 121, 122), Partonopeus (cf. amor:jor 21, vos: angoissos 1509, v08:los <L̛̛POs 8535).
$Q$ is not found in rime with any other sound. The rime peresceuz (perecos):venuz 3854 is very doubtful and ought probably to be discarded because -8 and $-z$ do not rime with one another. Perceitz may possibly be read instead of peresceuz (cf. List of Words).

In the MS the tonic syllable $o$ in or out of rime is represented by ue: surs:curs 1531; by ou: pastour:treitour 2117; by eu: andeus, 1086, piteus: ${ }^{1}$ Cr. Walberg, Bestiaire, p. xlv.

2447; by $0:$ laborent 239, proz 3245. The spelling $u$ is much the most common. In pretonic syllable it appears as $u, o$, and ou; $u$ being more generally used than 0 .
§ 8. $\boldsymbol{U}$.-The rimes in $\bar{u}$ from various sources are pure: $15,75,145$, 587, 1209, etc. By the side of the usual spelling $u$, the MS shows twice ui (uy):druy 618, murmuire 1534; and sometimes eu:aveneu:verteu 75. The parasitic $e$ in the latter spelling may arise by analogy to words which have an $e$ etymologically. ${ }^{1}$
§ 9. Ai.-The rimes in ai are mostly pure: mais:fais <FAsCEM 143, retreire:bon eire 495, enfrez:forfez 1289. Ai in the groups -aistre, -ait, -ais rimes with $e$ from Latin checked E : mestre: estre 32 , veit:set <sEPTRM 3850, mes:apres 1576.

Here Denis may be associated with Marie de France (cf. Fabeln, p. Ixxxiii), Benoft de Ste. Maure (cf. R. de Troie, VI, 114), Partonopeus (cf. mestre:estre 929, forest:trest 744, no -ait group, pes:apres 919, baisse:presse 7483).
$A i$ is found once in rime with ei: vait (MS veit:dreit 785, possibly also in Marie de France (espleit:fait El. 223:estait ib. 337), and in the Life of St. Osith (vait:dreit 899). These rimes are not necessarily to be discarded as incorrect. ${ }^{2}$

According to Suchier (op. cit., \& 30b) in Anglo-Norman "ei, surtout devant $s, r, d, t$ est passé à ai avant que l'ancien ai ne fat contracté en e." With this fact in view, the presence of such rimes in Denis, Marie de France, and in the Life of St. Osith may be explained as being due to AngloNorman influence. ' On the other hand, these rimes may serve as evidence that the western or southwestern French dialect had an influence on the language of Denis and Marie.

The confusion of ei and $a i$ is found frequently in Partonopeus de Blois (cf. palais:deis 4143: queis 5093: maneis 1847). ${ }^{3}$ For the rime fait (MS fet): net 661 ; cf. \& 3 . As regards the spelling in rime-words, 42 appear with $a i$; 34 with ei; 31 with $e$; and 2 with $i e$; out of rime, in tonic or pretonic positions, $e i$ is more frequently used than ai or $e$.
§ 10 . Ei.-The rimes in ei are all pure: rei:sei 87, dreit:esteit 641, anceis:reis 1127, aver:saver 1645, creire:arveire 77, veie:desreie 319.
$A i+l^{\prime}$ and $e i+l^{\prime}$ are kept separate: soleil:vermeil 1171: conseil 1266, asaile:bataile 1617, vilanaile:rascaile 2161. For the rime veit:dreit 785; cf. § 9. Excepting in a few instances, $e i$ is the usual spelling for this sound; $e$ appears occasionally. To be noted are: lay:fay 2677, fiz 1683, moy 24, 542, consail:meroail 938:solail 1265.

[^12]§ 11. Eu.-Latin detus appears as Dieus in the MS. This spelling is to be ascribed to the copyist. The only rime where this word occurs indicates that eu is to be expected for the author: Deu:Eliseu <Eliseum 3191.
812. Ie.-The rimes in ie are for the most part pure. Out of the 4032 lines of La vie Seint Edmunt, there are 323 rimes in $\bar{e}, 129$ in $i e$, and 4 in $\bar{\xi}$ mixed with ie:conseilier:gaimenter 869, justisier:mer 1653, enfundrer:drescier 3133, cessez:jugiez 3189.

Of these four cases, justisier and cessez are not sure: justisier appears in a doubtful line, and it rimes elsewhere regularly with -ier:mestier 715, dreituriers 771; cesser rimes in the same poem regularly with $\overline{\text { en }}$ :demener 3425, and cessiez may, therefore, stand for laissiez. Two other instances of confusion may be explained: sazees (:cuntrees) 416 stands for asazees and is regular; eslisez (:preisiez) 1061, Imperat 5 (-ez through the influence of the preceding $i$ may become $i e z$ ) ought to be included in the list of words which rime now with ie, now with $\mathbb{\beta}$ : cf. conseillez (Imperat 5):eslisez 3525 (Vie de St. Gilles), eslisiez 275, 877 (Stengel, Roland), and also prisiez:despisiez 3564 (Erec et Enide), avillier in Marie de France (cf. Fabeln, pp. lxxxiv-lxxxv). There are, therefore, apparently only two sure instances of mixed rimes. It is to be noted that in these two cases of confusion ie comes from Latin $a$ by Bartsch's law, and to quote Miss Pope (Etude, p. 57), "dans les dialectes du Sud-Ouest et en partie du Nord-Ouest, la loi de Bartsch ne s'opère pas: ie et e se trouvent mêlés dans l'Epitre de Saint Etienne, dans le Sponsus, dans le Saint Martin et dans l'orthographe des chartes de toute cette partie de la France." Suchier (cf. op. cit., \& 29e) states that rimes of ie and $\bar{\beta}$ were not avoided scrupulously by Benoft and adds: "ce qui pourrait s'expliquer par son origine méridionale (Touraine)." G. Paris (cf. Vie de St. Gilles, p. xxiv) also admits that the confusion of ie and $\bar{e}$ is found, though rarely, in Norman texts of the twelfth century. In view of so small a proportion of mixed rimes, Jenkins thought (cf. Modern Language Notes, XXII, 195) that the exclusion of La vie Seint Edmunt from Suchier's first group could hardly be warranted. Our poem, however, must have been written at a later date.
-Iër from -ider rimes only with ę: ubliër:penser 55, afïr:jurer 878, guiër:mer 1344, mercié:conqueste 2974. In this particular rime Denis Piramus is to be classed with Wace, Marie de France, and Guillaume le Clerc. ${ }^{1}$ It may be noted that instances of -iier from -ier are already found in Benoft, Ambroise, and Garnier. For Simund de Freine (end of the twelfth century) -iër in fiër appears to count for one syllable.

After an $i$, è from Latin tonic a becomes ie:poplié:chacié 325, chier:otrier 1327, lié:enragié 2373, manier:entier 3221. The same development is to be found in Marie de France. This sound is usually represented by ie and $e$ in almost the same proportion; ee, ei, and $i$ appear rarely: greef 158, lee 174; breif 689, peiz 1435; milz 3022.

Cf. Warnke, Pabeln, p. Ixxilv; Suchier, op. cil., $17 d$.

8 13. Iu (ieu). -Our text shows both fié and fiu in rime; fiu:liu 2915, fie:leissie 2850; and this associates Denis with the author of the Roland, Marie, Garnier and with the author of Partonopeus (cf. fiu:liu 1718, fie: congié 1195). Lius <LठCus rimes with pius <piUs 624. The MS shows for Latin Lర́cum, Jరcum, fơcum:liu 1531, geu 563, feu 2126.

8 14. Qi and oi.-In the few rimes found in our poem, the two sounds remain separate: pi:picois:chois <raugsan 3145; in the learned words which have $Q$ for $\varphi$ : estoire:gloire 2503, glorie:victorie 3861; pi:croiz:voiz 2393, 2448. The MS shows conustre for conoistre 589, 1929.

8 15. Qu.-The endings -out (ot) of the imp. of the I conj. and the pret. of the III conj. is found in rime mainly with itself. Penout:pout 499, parlout:sujournout 1145, desplout:out 831; the MS shows sorent:orent 1594: porent 2820. This sound rimes once with $Q$ : mot:out 2337 . The same confusion appears also in Marie de France, Raoul de Houdan, Garnier (Wolfenbittel MS), etc. and the author of Partonopeus de Blois (cf. mot:sot < sapuit 187).
816. Ue.-Ue from Latin free $\delta$ and free $\delta$ ( $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { § }\end{gathered}$ before $p$, is found in rime with itself only: estuet:puet 1333, foer:quoer 1941, oore:recoore < recoupprat 2419, broil:foil 2697. Penser:quer 53 appears to be an incorrect rime due to the scribe. ${ }^{1}$

The rime vesquens:tens 3541 shows that $\delta+$ nasal had diphthongired. This sound is denoted by various spellings: by (1) ue:estuet 646, puet 2079; (2) oe:estoet 1003, poet 865, poeple 704; (3) o:trovent 219, oore 1232, iloc 2155; (4) u:iluc 345, put 651, murt 652; (5) ou:voult 2223; (6) oe and oi before $l$ : doel 54, oil 2331, soil 2332; (7) e: nef 2060.

For Latin Cǒr, the MS shows quer 56, quoer 1942, quor 2284.
§ 17. Ui.-Uei from Latin $\delta+1$ is reduced to $u i$ and rimes regularly with $u i$ from other sources. All the rimes in $u i$ are pure: lui:ennui 1391: fui:1696:ambedui 3444, deduit <Ď̛CTUM with $\tilde{u}$ of DÖco:trestuit 58: nuit 1400, tuit:nuit 3307.

By the side of the usual spelling $u i, u$ and $i$ also appear. There is no evidence in rime-words of reduction of $u i$ to $u$ or to $i$ as we find in Wace, Benott, Marie. Guillaume le Clerc does not show any instance of reduction of $u i$ to $i$ and here we may class Denis with him.

[^13]8 18. An and en.-The nasals an and on are not found riming together. Talent is the only word in our poem which hesitates: talant:portant 1460, talent:noblement 3948. ${ }^{1}$ Latin Exmuplum appears as ensample 86, 88. In proper names, Latin free a followed by a nasal is preserved: Balaan:rechan 2733.

The spelling aun for an occurs only in garauntir 65. Erraument 963, 2271 may stand for erralment. By the side of enceis 1129, 1244, we have also anceis 1127, 3305 and aincés 329; Engleis 108 by the side of Angleis 368 , etc.

8 19. In.-The rimes in in are pure: murine:famine 141, cosins:veisins 425.
§ 20. On.-This sound is represented in stressed and unstressed positions by $u, o$, and $o u$. In stressed position $u$ is by far more common than $o$ and $o u$, in unstressed position ou is crowded out (except bounté 494), and $o$ and $u$ are the only forms used, o appearing, however, more frequently than $u$.
§21. Un.-This sound rimes only with itself: chescune:une 115, fumes:resceйmes 893, comun:un 2009.
§22. Ain and ein. $-A i$ and $e i+n$ are mingled in rime: peine:quinzeine 991, demeine:Romeine 1193, ceint:ateint 409, serein (MS serin):lendemain 1461. The confusion of ain and ein is rather a western and not necessarily an Anglo-Norman trait. It is found in Benott, Marie, and Garnier. ${ }^{2}$ There is no instance of confusion of ai and ei before $\tilde{n}$ : chevetaignes: compaignes 209, Alemaigne:compaigne 393; the forms from venniat and texneat rime only with themselves; cf. \& 57.

8 23. Ien. -The rimes in ien from Latin free + nas. and from a after French I are pure: biens:riens 413, celestiene:teriene 1283, bien: Achemenien 2204:celestien 2514. Ien is not found riming with en as in Marie, Wace, and the Eneas. ${ }^{3}$ For nient <nec entem cf. \& 71. The MS shows sporadically en by the side of the usual spelling ien:ben 2513,3341 avent 655 , etc.
§ 24. Oin (uoin, uein).-Oin does not appear in rime. The usual spelling is oin:point 703, loinz 933. The following are to be noted: luin 1488, puint 2464 , son (soing) 571 , lonteins 1884 , queinte 936 , aquente 1125 by the side of cointe 510.

8 25. Uen.-Uen appears a few times, and as in Wace and Benoft, ${ }^{4}$ it rimes with en: suens:buens (MS bons) 1855; vesquens:tens 3541: sens 3670. The MS spells ue and oe.

[^14]
## Consonants

## 826. Liquids:

L.-In the group ül+cons, $l$ has disappeared, though it appears in the MS: nuls:plus 350.
 shows that $l$ was vocalized: vassals:chevals 1053, dancels:bels 475, tolt:volt 1787. The MS usually preserves $l$, but the vocalization appears occasionally: autre 201, eus 295, vaut 316, sautier 1396, cheveuz 3054, etc.

The following may also be noted, where $l$ is not written: saf 525, duz 1534, acuns 2025, $\operatorname{cop} 2494$, acun 121; cf. also aultrui 572 , haulz 853 where $l$ is represented twice. $L$ rimes with $l^{\prime}$ in feeil:conseil 1101; this rime is of common occurrence on the Continent. ${ }^{1}$
$L^{\prime}$.-In the group $i l^{\prime}+z$, the $l^{\prime}$ has disappeared: fiz:marriz 442:diz 513. There is no evidence of vocalization as to the other groups. The MS preserves l: mielz 67, vielz 2015, oilz 744, ustilz:sutilz 3127.

By the side of the usual spellings $i l l, i l, l^{\prime}$ is represented by $l$ : conselai 1240, orgulousement 1964; by li:meliurs 1062, valiant 1634, genuliuns 3227; by lli:genulliuns 1506 .
R.-Metathesis of re to er occurs in: pernent 218, perneit 3473, pover 818, overs 884, etc. In the MS double $r$ is represented by $r$ or $\boldsymbol{r}$ : tere 130, conquere 207, purrez 64, querre 2343, etc.
§ 27. Nasals:
$M$ and $N$.-Final $m$ and $n$ are found riming together: faim:pain 147, parlum:barun 1870. Final $n$ after $r$ has disappeared: jur:emperoür 1133, retur:odur 1873. There is no evidence to show that $n$ and $\tilde{n}$ are mixed in rime. In the few instances to be found, $\tilde{n}$ rimes only with itself: chevetaignes:compaignes 209, Alemaigne:compaigne 393. Demeine<DOMinium rimes with Romeine 1194, certeine 1779.
$\tilde{N}$ is represented in medial position by ign (ygn): Bretaigne 128; by in ( $y n$ ): Bretaine 207; by ngn:cingnes 472; by ni:greniur 553 ; by igni:aigniel 2799; by gn:segnur 672; by $n$ :enginez 3800 ; by $n n$ :enginner 3556.

In final position by ing:poing 2429; by in:groin 2756, desdein 3672; by $n$ :son (soing) 571, lin (lign) 882; by gne:desdegne 1969.

## § 28. Labials:

Before the $s$ of flexion, $f$ and $p$ are lost in: pais: vis (MS vifs) 909 :poestis 1029, detries:chies (MS chefs) 2026, tens:vesquens 3542, 4009.

The MS, however, usually preserves the consonant: vifs 1023, niefs 1039, chefs 2023, escripture 647; cf. also psalmes 3629, columb 1817, etc. Final $f$ remains in tref:nef 1451, chief:brief 595, salf 525, chalf 3401. $P$ is inserted between $m$ and $n$ in Dampne Dieu 550, dampné 950, columpne 2525. In the MS, $f$ often stands for $v^{\prime}: j o e f n e ~ 187$, chifaliers 460 , nafrez 3409, and of

[^15]for ov: $5,24,987,1090,1164,1655,3574 .{ }^{1}$ The meter calls for ovek 24 , 3406 cf. oveke (8) 2660.
829. Dentals:

D, T.-Latin dentals isolated between two vowels have been lost: vie:folie 3, felonie:envie 3107. Dentals which have become final in French have disappeared in (1) substantives and verbal roots: mei:fei 59:dei< *DITUM 548, vei <*vido for video:rei 1234; (2) endings $e<$ atum, $i<$ itum, or palatal + EDEM, $u$ <UTUM, UTEM.:he:oste 1291, servi:ci 677, merci <MERCEDEM:Ci 1229, Jhesu:purveü 557, vertu:dru 1443; (3) preterites in $i<$ -IVIT:fini:di<dIco 756, s'estendi:miēdi 1182; (4) preterites in a are found riming only with themselves and with $a<$ нabet:embla:ala 351, resembla: $a$ 2427. The following rime: ja:departira 1107 proves that $t$ was lost; (5) strong preterites and other words with a fixed $t$ are found riming only with themselves: contredist:mist 281; vit:rit 561, Crist:conquist 659, plait: fait 3651; (6) the name Edmund is attested in two forms: Edmun:mesprisun 3294, Edmunt:sunt 80; within the line the MS shows Edmund; (7) in fu<Latin FUIT:Jhesu 2202. Preterites in -ut rime only with themselves: resceut:dut 359, parut:morut 775, and always preserve their final $t$ in spelling. In our poem, a $t$ has been added to the ending an in tirant <tyrannom: de meintenant 2377. The addition of a $t$ to such words occurs in AngloNorman as well as on the Continent. ${ }^{2}$

The $d$ is preserved before $v$ in: vedve 1119, vedves 2142 , but it has disappeared in: aversiers 2186, aversere 2834.
§ 30. $S$ and $Z$.-At the end of words, 8 and $z$ are kept separate throughout our poem: pais:pris <PRETIUM 329, dis <DECEM:apris 3295, asez:amez 61. In forz:cors 2667 and venuz:peresceuz 3853, where the reading is doubtful, no safe conclusion can be drawn.

Jurs rimes with plusurs 3065. Jurs is also found in the Eneas, Benoft, Marie, ${ }^{8}$ in Partonopeus de Blois (jors:honors 410:mors 437). Pacem and fascem show a final 8: pes:apres 431, fais:mais 144. There is no evidence that 8 before $t$ was silent for the author. The apparent confusion between the 3d sg. of preterite and imperfect subj., the past part., and 3d sg. ind. pres. brought in by the scribe does not exist for the author: appellast: enbrasceast 575, resceust:dust 559 are to be read without an 8 ; dist:despist 2165, dist:oist 2655 stand for dit ind. pres.:despit, dit ind. pres.:vit perf.; escrit $=$ escrist perf. (:fist) 3472; rit (:vit) 562 is a pres. ind.; as for dit p.p. (:requist) 1969, the reading is doubtful, and no safe conclusion can be drawn. On the other hand, the larger number of pure rimes in -it, -ist, -ut, -ust tends to prove that 8 was still pronounced for the author: Crist:conquist 659:paraprist 1571, oüst:poüst 2189, fust <Fర̈sTEM:geizt 2923. There are

[^16]indications in the MS of the silencing of s: meime 2629, seintime 2695, almoniere 577, desrainer 274, detrenchier 2018, trepercent 3769, etc.

The MS shows that the usual way of writing a single 8 for voiced $s$ and double 8 for voiceless 8 is not observed: asez 294 (but assez 1086), asise 1215 (but assise 1202), treis8or 912 (but tresor 538), etc. Voiceless 8 is sometimes represented by sc: haltesce 1267, richesce 1268, drescier 3984. Final $s$ is sometimes left out: de for des 2141.

8 31. C and $K$. $-C$ disappears before flexional 8 : gavelos:os 2543, enemis: pais 336. The MS shows $c$ at times: gavelocs 313. The various spellings representing this sound are: $k$ :kar 31, relikes 3060; qu:quoer 1942, queinte 1187; c: deluc 260, dunc 3865.
§ 32. C (ts).-The usual spelling for this sound is $c:$ purchaciez 1975, prince 1984, face 2757. Before $a, o, u$, an $e$ follows $c:$ cea 3804, faceun 3235, aparceurent 2751, but hericuns 231 and hericiun 2427. Sc before $e$, $i$, and sce before $a, u$ also appear frequently: resceit 160, adrescier 1374, enbrascea 535, resceut, 3244.
§ 33. QU.-Qu in que, quanque, qui is found more frequently than $k$. Kant is more common than quant. Unke (unkes) is the only form used.
§ 34 . $C H(t s h)$.-This sound is denoted by ch regularly. The spelling c appears rarely: carbun 2129, case 2957, sace 3283, etc.
§ 35 . $G(g)$.-The $g u$ from Germanic $w$ appears as $g$ before $a$ and $g u$ before $e, i$, and $a$ : garir 64, gast 150, guerpirent 150, guise 2945, guarisun 161.
§ 36. $G$ and $J(d z)$.- $G$ usually appears before $e$ and $i$ : gent 109 , salvagine 168, but jesque 706, and $j$ before $a$, o, u: jurs 17, jurer 877, jadis 3999. Before $a$, ge is found also: chargea 736, geambes 2408. Chambes 2763, chalus 3873 may be noted.
§ 37. W.-In the MS, according to the Anglo-Norman habit, consonantal $u$ is sometimes represented by $w$ : ewe 1343, ensuvi 2519; $w$ is inserted occasionally between two vowels in hiatus: ouwel 296, powes 2753, jowes 2754. W appears also in wacrant 1468, welcomer 1530, etc.
§ 38. $H$.-The $h$ is aspirated in honte 65, halte 102, heriguns 231. Aspirated $h$ is generally preserved: hardi 3381, hauberc 316. Cf. also 872. Latin H is written in honour 262, hospital 628, heir 1286, but not in eir 73, onur 1980, ost 3366.

The MS shows an $h$ in proper names and foreign words, especially after t: Arthur 137, Northfolk 417, Lothebroc 1880, etc.
§ 39. Double consonants.-The MS shows the following instances of double consonants, which, phonetically, are reduced regularly to a simple consonant in O.F.: rr: dirrai 69, irrez 726; ll: apella 1213, mellees 3755; mm: homme 67, femme 166, summe 929; nn: donne 161, enpennez 313; bb: abbez 966; dd: redde 1777, sudduiant 2675; oc: occis 346, acceptable 719; ff: suffreitus 632, offri 3098; tt: gettent 53, chapelette 2829.
840. Decuension:Substantives, adjectives, participles.-Though the old system is often preserved intact, the tendency toward leveling the declen-
sions in favor of the objective case is very evident in our poem. This tendency appears chiefly in the case of nouns, adjectives, and participles in the predicate.

Masculines in the singular: The nom. sing. preserves its etymological 8: reis:deis 479, enemis:apris 2177 ; also 350, 1128, 1308, 2440, etc., or appears with the accusative form: pelerin:Costentin 788, rei:sei 2181 ; also 452, 502, 1472, 2317, 3673, etc. Infinitives used as nouns have no flexional 8 in the nom. sing.: cumbatre:quatre 296, and so 495. Crist 659, 3006 has no 8 in the nom. sing. Fiz has a $z$ in the acc. sing. 907, and in the nom. pl. 1903.

Masculines in the plural: A large majority of masculines in the nom. pl. appear regularly without s: marinier:drescier 1373, barun:contenciün 1599; also 42, 136, 154, 187, 287, 365, etc.; but -8 is assured by the rime in: aversiers:muliers 2148, tiranz:paisanz 2157; also 209, 375, 1047, 1595, 2399, 3066, 3089, 3912.

8 41. Nominatives of the II and III declensions are found in the rime without analogical -8: ber:mer 1371, mestre:estre 1934, sire:cuntredire 3614; also $1323,1789,1950$, etc. Sires:empires 3259 may be read without 8 . The meter demands mestre 2793, sire 980, 1602, but sires 159.

Нбум becomes regularly in the nom. sing. hom:Hailesdun 2176, acc. sing. home:Rome 1137; nom. pl. prodome:some 3881; acc. pl. homes 782. The MS usually shows the spellings homme and hommes. Home appears to be used (nom.) in the predicate in 2266; home for the nom. in 1537, 2214, 3482,3745 is not sure, since regné, jesques, sages, riches in each respective line may be read instead of regne and the forms without $s$; in 1.2933 , jesqu' is to be inserted between hom and a. Cf. 1537, 3494.
842. The Vocative of the II and III declensions is found without an 8: mestre:estre 925, gent:omnipotent 95. The 8 of I appears in amis:pais 3997, but is omitted in rei:purquei 943, and also 533, 1233, etc. In the pl. we have amis:languis 673, segnurs:plurs 1332.
§ 43. Nouns, adjectives, past participles in the predicate appear in the sing., either with the flexional 8 or with the accusative form without 8. Nouns (1) with -8: 107, 2234; (2) no -8: 259, 695, 706, 1265, etc. Adjectives (1) with -8: 441, 886, 1330, 1652, 2472, etc.; (2) no -8: $146,439,510$, 1580, 1634, 2856, etc. Past participles (1) with $-8: 725,2479,2538,3007$, etc.; (2) no -8: $582,2252,2525,2542$, etc.

The nom. pl. of adj. and participle in the predicate appear with or without the -8 of flexion. The form without $s$ is assured by the rime more frequently. Instances of nouns are few. Nouns: (1) no -8 242; (2) with -8 3791. Adj.: (1) no -8: 70, 174, 1100, 1101, 1453, 3752, etc.; (2) with $-8: 38,52,1029,1078$, etc. Past participles: (1) no -8: 216, 226, 950, 1473, 1480, 1773, etc.; (2) with $-8: 293,976,1076,1904,2835$, etc.

The acc. is used for the nominative also (1) in apposition to a noun, a verb: 363, 962, 973, 2113, 2181, 3222, 3476, 3995, etc.; (2) after cum (cume): 1727, 2799, 3780.

The non-agreement of the predicate adj. and participle is to be found in Marie de France (cf. Fabeln, pp. lxxxvii f.; Espurg. pp. 47-48; Romania, XXIV, 294), and especially in Benoft de Sainte Maure (cf. R. de Troie, VI, 147 ff.).

The use of the object for the subject not in the predicate also appears in Marie de France (cf. Espurg., p. 43; Romania, XXIV, 294), and in Benoft de Sainte Maure (cf. R. de Troie, VI, 149), "très souvent comme nom sujet," according to Constans.

On the ground that the Espurgatoire shows a larger number of cases of substitution of the object for the subject than the Lais, G. Paris (Romania, XXIV, 294) regarded the former as a later work, and he accounted for this difference in the language as being due to the fact that the Espurgatoire had undergone "plus profondément l'influence du milieu anglo-normand." The same argument may apply to Denis' language. Yet this is not exclusively an Anglo-Norman trait, since it presents itself so frequently and so prominently in Benoft. ${ }^{1}$ Here it may be questioned whether, in the case of Marie de France and Denis, we may not have to deal with a southwestern trait which they adopted and preserved, and which later possibly developed during their stay in England.
844. Fem. nouns of the II Declension have in the nom. sing. either the old form without $-8(-z)$ or the analogical form with $-8(-z)$. No -8 : mer:habiter 406, gent:apent 1421, flairur:odur 1863; with 8: riens:biens 414, flairurs:flurs 3302.

8 45. Fem. adj. and participle with estre agree regularly with their subject, both in the sing. and pl. (1) Adj.: 842, 1370, 1420, 1606, etc. (2) Participle: 200, 430, 1117, 1490, etc.

There are, however, two cases of non-agreement: maintenu:fu 3350, and creüz:cremuz 1277 with cristiente and honur as the subjects respectively. As honur appears to be feminine in our poem, 1144, 1792, 2815, this nonagreement may be looked upon as a poetic license. ${ }^{2}$ Instances of nonagreement occur also in various authors. ${ }^{3}$

8 46. The oblique case appears very frequently for the genitive: (1) in fixed expressions: la Dieu merci 620, la grace Seint Espirit 777, and so: 2477, 2551, 2325, etc.; (2) before proper names: al braz Seint Jorge 641, la gent Offe 1348, and also: $1063,1315,1597,1635$, etc.; (3) when it refers to a person: le fiz mon cosin 685, cosin lur segnur 828, and so: 849, 1668, 1703, etc.; (4) in the expression en este tens 3542,4 but regularly en tens d'este

[^17]3697; (5) we may have to read in 658-59 en chemin Jerusalem without a preposition. ${ }^{1}$

We have doubtful cases in 607 and 827, since des Saisnes (cf. 103) or Sessoigneis (cf. 769) could be read instead of de Sessoigne. The Latin shows in the same episode such forms: rigis Saxonici, magnatibus Saxonicis, per regem Saxonum. ${ }^{2}$

8 47. Agreement of past participles conjugated with avoir.-In the MS flexional -8 ( $z$ ) appears or is omitted, $z$ and 8 are interchanged, and the $e$ of the fem. participle is left out frequently. Apparently the scribe did not observe any rule. Another difficulty is that, since in our poem the object sometimes replaces the subject in predicate participle, and participles do not always agree with a preceding acc., in a few instances we are at liberty to admit the non-observance of either one of the two rules: e.g., meis li reis en hé les coilli Pur ceo qu'il erent pruz e hardi 3883, and also 364, 1975, 2836. Yet, in general, the rime or the meter leaves no doubt as to the correct reading.

Accusative preceding the participle.-When the acc. precedes, the agreement is regularly observed, save in a few cases. Agreement: There are 40 sure instances: 314, 344, 574, etc., and 8 doubtful cases, 326, 3181,3186 , etc. Non-agreement: 1995, 3267, 3174, 3477, 3816.

Accusative following the participle.-When the acc. follows, the agreement seems to be optional. Agreement: 1961, 2361, 3074, 3211, 3595, 3813, etc. Non-agreement: 649, 739, 741, 985, 2605.
\& 48. Articles:
In the MS the acc. is used for the nom. In the nom. sing. li occurs twice as often as le in the first one thousand lines; in the nom. pl. it is the reverse: les appears with greater frequency than $l i$ in the first four hundred lines. The MS shows sporadically the use of le for la: le pais 134, le regiun 444, and also: 1585, 1805, 1863, and la for le: la martire 2134, la language 2721 and also: 2811, 2951, 2968 (cf. Stimming, Boeve de Haumtome, pp. xi and xiii, for other instances).
§ 49. Adjectives:
Adjectives which had in Latin only one form for the masc. and fem. have no analogical femines in e: granz 227, real 627, mortel 2390, viez < $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{TUS} 2987$.

The meter demands the suppression of such forms: tele 748, quele 588, cruelement 765, reale 725, errantement 671 , fortes 1342 , vile 2684 , etc. To be noted is grande:Holtlande 1416, which may be a poetic license. Such proper names in ande (cf. 178, 390, 1417, 1421) ought to stand according to the meter. Forte 1369 (with hiatus before the conj. et) and fortes 3018 may be ascribed to the author.

[^18]${ }^{2}$ Memorials, I, 95, 97.

Dulce 2744, dulcement 856, fole 2, folement 286 are regular O.F. forms. The fem. of adj. in -eis ends in -esche: englesche 3485. Vereiment 1889, 2769, 3515, 3655, 3178 stands for veirement.

Comparatives.-To be noted is beleisur 2934. The MS shows that graindre 380, 845, and mieldres 1517 are used for the accusative.

850 . Pronouns:
Personal pronouns.-The tonic forms of the personal pronouns appear: (1) after a preposition a mei 59 ; (2) before an infinitive: de lui joir 469, devant sei venir 876, en tei maculer 2324; (3) with an indicative: avrunt els 288, enbruncha sei 562, departira mei 2308, lui (MS li) a en son regne aleote 3317; (4) as a disjunctive: lui e tute sa parente 104, but usually il: 106, 611, 1890, etc.

The tonic form lui usually appears as $l i$ in the MS.
Atonic $l i$ (dative) is the only form for both masc. 449, 524, and fem. 1167, 1173.

Lor (dat. pl.) is replaced generally by les in the MS: 161, 256, 268, 287, etc. The correct form is preserved in $180,274,332,1423$, etc.

Jeo and Ceo are the spellings of the MS. Ele has two forms: ele 1225, 3056, and el (MS ele) 39, 1210, etc.

8 51. Possessive pronouns.-The acc. pl. of nostre, vostre is noz 75, and 002884 (MS nos, vos). When the article precedes the poss. pron., the tonic form is used regularly: li mien ceptre 732, pur la meie (MS moy) amur 542, but un son cheoalier 2209, un son evesque 2287. To be noted is the use of lor in: Tut le mielz d'Engletere ert lur 3908, and again: 3368, 3903. The use of son for $s a$ is to be attributed to the copyist: 763, 983. For elision of $s a$ cf. $\S 72 c$.
852. Demonstrative pronouns.-Forms with $i$ are found sporadically by the side of cil, cist: icil 3857, icel 111, icist 3377, icest 1102, and also 1186, 1862, 1921, etc. The acc. pl. masc. and fem. of cist appears as cez (MS ces): 114, 1005, etc., and the nom. pl. masc. as cist: 1733. In the MS oblique forms sometimes stand for the nom.: cels 177, 521, etc., cestui 661, celui 1876, etc. To be noted is the use of the demonstrative with a poss.: de ceste nostre regiun 1729.
§ 53. Relative pronouns.-The nom. qui (MS qui, ki) and the acc. que (MS que, ke) are kept separate in a great many cases. Yet the MS shows that que (ke) stands most frequently for the nom.: $21,38,70,142,147,153$, etc.; and sporadically qui (ki) for que: 658, 1733, 2585, etc. It is evident that our author is largely responsible for substituting que for qui in the nom., because of the fact that the vowel (MS que $k e, q u i k i, q u u^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ ) has often to be elided with the next vowel: MS que (ke): 203, 669, $930,1562,1606,1775$, 1806, etc.; MS qui (ki): 170, 1389, 1557, 1636, 1648, 1763, 1872, 1994, etc.; MS $q u^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime}\right): 1513,2022,2459,2886$.

The use of the rel. pron. que for qui is to be found in Anglo-Norman, Ile-de-France, Champagne, Picard, and Wallonian dialects, ${ }^{1}$ but, save in a
${ }^{1}$ Cf. K. De Jong, Die Relativ- und Interrogatio-pronomina "qui" und "qualie" im Alffre., Marburg (1900), pp. 25-36.
few instances, the West does not show it. This trait does not appear either in the northwestern or the southwestern dialects. ${ }^{1}$ In the case of Denis Piramus, we have to deal plainly with an Anglo-Norman trait. The continental Marie de France did not escape the Anglo-Norman influence, and her Espurgatoire show several cases. ${ }^{2}$

Cui (MS qui, ki) appears in 2311 and after prepositions: 443, 676, 811, 2000, etc. Quil $561,1593,2082$, for $q u i$, and qui 211,1747 for the conjunction que are to be attributed to the copyist. Altrui (MS aultri) as a genitive has no preposition: altrui enfant 572.
§ 54. Verbs:
Personal endings.-The 1st pers. sing. of the ind. pres. takes neither $e$ nor s: cunt:Edmunt 99, comant:avant 708, rent:omnipotent 549, but regularly languis:amis 674.

The 1st pers. pl. ends in -um, and is found in rime with -un:parlum: barun 1870; otherwise it rimes only with itself and with prodom 3200 , celerum:avum 1679. Sumes is regular 871, 1100.

The 2d pers. pl. ending is ez: amez:assez 62, irez: nez 726.
The 1st pers. and 2 d pers. pl. of the impf. subj. take no $i$ : ralissum: returneriüm 1662, menissum 1667, feïsum 1669, alissez:deviëz (MS deverez) 1244.

The endings -iūm and -iëz of the impf. and cond. are dissyllabic: voldriëz 1222; the meter demands deviëz (or devriëz) 1243, returneriüm 1661, celeriüm 1679.
855. Infinitive.-Infinitives in er <-are and in eir <-Ere are not mixed in rime.
856. Indicative present.-The 3d pers. of aller shows two forms, both assured by rime: veit:feit 529, va:a 1843. Veit:dreit 785 is to be mentioned. Cf. 8 9. Tint 14, 3278 may stand for tinc <TĚNEO, ${ }^{3}$ and lest (:vest) 1363 for let.
§ 57. Subj. present.-The 3d pers. sing. of the I conj. has no e: griet: chiet 704, port:fort 1408, etc. The meter requires prit 696, otreit 3219, dunt 449, etc. Dunt (MS doynd) 3d pers. of duner is proved by the rime: Edmund 534. Out of rime are found: doyne 449, doune 2215, doint 4022, pardunt 3218.

Other conjs. have ee: die 1217, prenge (MS prengne) 3636, asaile:bataile 1617. The forms from viniat and těneat show -inge, -inge, -ienge, and rime only with themselves: 717, 1621, 2213. An analogical form in -ge is assured by the rime: prenge:venge 3828. Our text has alge 1329, algent $260,271,1069$ of aler and murge 652 of murir. The presence of pres. subj. in -ge deserves to be noted. According to F. Kirste, ${ }^{4}$ the pres. subj. in -ge
${ }^{1}$ Of. De Jong, op. cit., p. 34. 2 Cf. Jenking, Espurg., p. 44.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Matake, Simund de Preine, D. xll, for the same instance; Miss Pope, Athde, pp. 34-35; E. Goerlich, "Die slidwestlichen Dialekte," Pransos. Studien, III, 119, 125.

- Cf. Hist. Untersuchung aber d. Conjunctiv Praesens (1890), p. 68.
"findet sich allein herrschend im Dialekt der Normandie, Bretagne, Anjou und des S. W., sporadisch in der Picardie und Namur." According to Stimming, however, these forms also appear in Anglo-Norman. ${ }^{1}$

858. Imperfect.-The 3 d sing. of the I conj. rimes either with itself or with the preterite of the III conj.; cf. $\S 15$. The regular forms of the I conj. appear in the MS also: 1170, 1262, 1916, 3162. There are two instances in which out is found riming with the impf. of other conjs.: demandeit:aveit 1151, giseit:crieit 3617. These rimes probably ought to be discarded. They occur in the various MSS of the works of a correct writer like Marie de France. ${ }^{2}$ In the first instance en out may stand for aveit and, in the second, braieit for crieit. The MS shows once aportoient 3105, no doubt for aportouent.
§ 59. Preterites.-Pret. I takes no s: esbai:vi 1255, fui:lui 1696, deguerpi: enemi 2313.

Pret. 3 has no $t$ : trai:ci 796, estendi:miedi 1182, fu:Jhesu 2202.
There is one instance of a preterite 3 in -ie: espandie:lie 2526, but espandirent:eissirent 1254. Other verbs of the same class appear with $i$ only: estendi:miedi 1182, entendi:bani 2339. The presence of this preterite in -ie may serve as a clue to the dialect of our author. According to H . Wolterstorff, ${ }^{3}$ the forms in -ie fail to appear in Anglo-Norman, Norman, and Picard, save in the prose MSS of the Cambridge and Oxford Psalters, La chanson de Roland, Samson de Nanteuil. ${ }^{4}$ The pret. in -i $\varepsilon$ appears to be a continental trait. It is found in Benoft,' though not often. Here again the presence of such a form in Denis Piramus could be explained as dialectical.

The 3d pers. pl. of faire is firent:departirent 2662; the 3d pers. sing. of chair, chai: altresi 390; of remaindre (or remaneir) remist 165, 2664, in the pl. remistrent 2462; of voleir, volt 517, 665 (MS vult), in the pl. voldrent 164. Vinch, 1st pers. sing. 1227, 1245, may be attributed either to the author or the copyist. It is not necessarily a Picard form. It is found in AngloNorman and in the western dialects. ${ }^{6}$ In Frere Angier, $c$ or $c h$ is regularly added to the 1st pers. sing. of the ind., when it ends in $n, n t, r, r+$ cons. ${ }^{7}$
§ 60. Future and conditional.-To be noted are: durrai 556, 601, lerrai 2222, lerreit 2092, 2089. The MS shows the popular form recovera (=recoverra) 862, liverunt (=liverrunt) 3929. Otherwise amenerunt 987, mustrerai 1688. The meter demands menreit 2091, amenrunt 960 . See also $\S 69$.

[^19]${ }^{\bullet}$ Of. Roman de Trois, VI, $145 . \quad \cdot$ Cf. Goerlich, op. cit., pp. 119, 125.
${ }^{7}$ C1. Miss Pope, titude, pp. 34, 35, 60.
861. Imperative.-The verbs of the II and III conjs. show no -8: di:oi 2327, revien:bien 2211. Subjunctive forms of the 2d pers. sing. appear in the imperat. voiles (MS voyle) 1852, seies 1853.
§ 62. Subj. imperfect.-The 1st and 2d pers. pl. of the I conj. show forms with -iss:ralissum 1662, menissum 1667, alissez 1244. To be noted also is volsissent 1610. Pooir has no form in $i$ : poüst:ouist 379, 2190. Susum 950 stands for fussum and is not a pres. subj. as Menger claimed. ${ }^{1}$

Participles.-Compounds of lire have an $i$ : estit:cit 1773.
§ 63. Gerundive.-The construction with aler is common: vunt wacrant: avant 1468, and also 1835, 2003, 2039, etc.; with estre we have obeissant:tant 2220 , and 3153 , etc.

## Verbipication

§64. As might be expected of a poet who was familiar with various genres of poetry current in his time in court circles, and with works like Partonopeus de Blois and Marie's Lais, Denis must have written La vie Seint Edmunt in octosyllabic couplets throughout: to quote Gröber, " "in richtig gebauten Achtsilbnern." His versification does not differ from that of his continental contemporaries. The MS as it is preserved to us shows, indeed, many irregular lines. Vising, citing La vie Seint Edmunt as an illustration of Anglo-Norman poems containing "des négligences métriques," long ago stated that "sur les 123 vers de la Vie de Saint Edmund communiqués par M. Michel, j'en compte quinze qui sont irreguliers." ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ The present survey of the versification, however, will tend to show that these irregularities disappear under criticism and are to be ascribed only to the copyist.

## NUIBER OF BYLLABLES

865. Final atonic $e$ followed by a consonant in the next word has metrical value regularly (a) after a vowel: folie 68, menue 372, maladie 800 , since maladie 643, 874, Marie 3618 occur in doubtful lines, no conclusion can be drawn; (b) after a single consonant: fole 2 , grace 23 , dame 35 , etc. The meter demands sire 857, 943, etc., seintisme 99, 2283, etc., invariably. Line 2330 is to be emended to: li reis seintisme. The MS shows cum, sicum, and uncore generally; the meter, however, requires cume 1, 29, 298, 360 , etc., cum 600,802 , sicume 385 , 401 , sicum 778, 1486, (the forms with $e$ are in the majority), uncore 2759 , uncor 2634,3271 , ore 121,3066 , or 729 , 1003 , etc. The MS often shows the omission of final e: fesei 6, cunt 42, petit 153, mesnie 343, vessel 473, porté 1036, escriptur 3297, etc. In all these cases $e$ is called for by the meter.

Conversely, $e$ appears many times when it has no metrical value: curte 5, travaile 54, nefes 179, barunes 520, ferme 2373, corne 2671, croice 2310 ,

[^20]colpe 3776, etc. Cunseile 969 and desdeigne 1969 may not be attributed to the author; cf. conseil 1016, 1102, etc.
866. Final atonic $e$ followed by a vowel in the next word has no syllabic value after a vowel: envie aveient 2677, vie aveir 2169. Also cf. \& 73.
§ 67. -Ent of pres. ind. and subj. always has syllabic value: commencent 2020, aprochent 2046, seient 2084, puissent 2919, etc.
868. As for ent of eient (impf. 6), elision or non-elision appears to be optional. In our MS there are 15 instances of non-elision: 812, 1137, 1400, etc., and 34 instances of elision: 46, 245, 250, 337, 370, 433, etc. It cannot be decided whether the elision is to be ascribed to the author or to the copyist. In some instances the line may easily be emended, while, in most of the others, the perfect is apparently required by the context.
ent of -reient (conditional 6) is not elided: 1609, 2611, 3610, 3922.
8 69. Atonic $e$ between consonants within a word has syllabic value regularly: enemi 13, pelerin 623, comandement 880 , apelereit 2672 . The meter requires pelerinage 785,1136 , larecin 1955, 3130 , fereie 934 , ferunt 988 , fereient 3610, etc.; hence, frunt 260 may have to be discarded, and so with pelrin 752 which occurs in a doubtful line. Cf. 881 . The MS, however, omits frequently the e: Almagne 393, chapels 629, gelins 2113, Danmarche 3707, fortresce 3796, etc. On the other hand, $e$ is often inserted between cons., but has no metrical value: metterei 20, averunt 288, overi 3053, liveré 3186, Ulfeketel 3773, combaterum 3810, etc.; perderum 1712, perderez 2277 cannot stand and must be corrected. Espirit counts for three syllables 23, 777 ; angels (MS) 753 two syllables; virgine 3291 three syllables (also in Gaimar 2902); apostoile 787 four syllables.

## ENCLISIS

§ 70. The enclitic use of $l e$ and les occurs frequently:
A. As an article. -al 133, 458, etc.; as 46, 270; del 110, 271, etc.; el 226, 329, etc.; es 989 , etc. The MS often shows en le when the meter requires enclisis: 758, 915, 3013, etc.
B. As a pronoun.-nel 836, 954, etc.; nes 702; ne le (MS) 668, 1323, and ne les (MS) 3369, 3374, etc., stand for nel and nes respectively; the meter calls for ne le (MS nel) 1189, 2133; quil 583, 3279; sil 576, 1724; sis (MS si les) 1363, 2001; jeol 126, 3220, the enclisis is required by the meter.
C. After a verb.-lirel (MS lire le) 44, lessel 2242. Cf. in other texts: merel, fairel (Roman de Troie, VI, 110), feirel (MS feire el) 360 (Le livre des Manières, p. 15) rumprel 58c (La passion du Christ), penrel 150, rendel 26 (La vie de Saint Léger).

## CONTRACTION

§ 71. Save in a few instances of pretonic $e$ in hiatus, vowels derived from two vowels which were in Latin hiatus or separated by a consonant are not contracted.
a+vowel: praëries 222, pais 1030, hainus 1888, traisun (Ms treisun) 2003, flaulerent 2386, radnceun 2522, aünerent 2635, etc. The meter demands gaäignable 220 , gaägneries 221 , enaäncrées 1490 , grad̈ntez 1724 , radnceun 2074, etc.
$e+a:$ real 725, purvedince 1578. The meter demands eage 509, 1158.
$e+e:$ veër 1087, priveëment 1556, creëz 2273.
There seems to be contraction in the case of benesqui 583, benesquirent 3255, beneit 2857, and possibly of citeein 2881, 2969.

The MS often shows contraction: estrusement 879, grent 1749, irrement 3588 , veir $=$ veeir 3959 , etc.
$e+i$ : veistes 844, feimes (MS meimes) 947, neis 2733. The meter demands cuntredeirst 281, meist 282, 3198, etc.

Contraction justified by the meter is found in beneçun (MS benesciun) 738 and mesme 1755, 1491, 2629, 2916, 3001, but lines 2207 and 1060 are doubtful and meisme may possibly be read. By the side of poëstif 1024, 1595, etc., the meter calls for the form poesters in 1029, 1330, 3500,3924 ; both forms are regular and may be found side by side in the same author (cf. Erec et Enide, ll. 526, 2327, 5607).
e+o: gaaigneür 242, decoleür 2445, salveür 2492, meüle 2544. The meter calls for empereür 83, 1134, turmenteürs 2395, 2441, reógna 3054, etc.

Contraction possibly occurs in turmenteür 2478, decoleür 2472, 2493, and gaagneürs 2158; these words, however, are regular elsewhere. Leopart 3780 counting for two syllables is correct O.F. ${ }^{1}$
$e+u$ : eür 652, aleüre 2795, geünassent 3215, etc. The meter demands creüz 1277, seйe 1586, engendreüre 1989, geüst 2832, serreïres 3017. The lines may easily be emended so as to read jeüne 3039, 3224 and bleceuire 3000, 3243 regularly.
$i+a$ : fiänces 916, diacne 3603.
$i+e$ : obliēr 55, oriēnt 110, hardiēment 269, deprięra 448, diēnt 1105, etc. We have to read miédi 1181, miënuit 2057, to satisfy the meter. Niënt is dissyllabic: 594, 702, 960, 3456, 3606, but possibly monosyllabic: 2518 (in the latter line $8 a$ may be left out). Niënt always rimes with words in ent. Here Denis is to be classed with Wace, Benoft (cf. Suchier, op. cit., § 47a), and Marie (cf. Fabeln, p. civ), who show also niènt as dissyllabic.
$i+o$ : confessiūn 741, processiün 2786, etc.; gloriüs 2181, religiüse 3038, etc. Pius 623 as a monosyllabic is regular. ${ }^{1}$
$o+e:$ lozzz 34, poěste 1271 , boēle 2412, etc. We have to read espoẽntée 3576, and esboellerent 2153, leaving out the conjunction $e$ in the latter line.
o+i: oir 63, joir 469, roil 2537.
o+o: roündes 309, poüns 472, poür 2227. The meter calls for espoürie 3564.
$u$ +vowel: vertuüses 901, fuǐ 3585, etc. We may read saluêrez 3954 by leaving out me or $e$.
${ }^{1}$ Cr. Tobler, Vorsbaus, p. 84.

As we see, silencing of pretonic $e$ in hiatus has not made much headway in the language of our author. It appears to be certain in the case of the words beneit, mesme, and possibly benesqui beneçun, and a few others. Some instances are doubtful, since the same words have the regular number of syllables elsewhere. Otherwise pretonic $e$ in hiatus has regularly syllabic value. On the continent the silencing of pretonic $e$ in hiatus made its appearance late in the twelfth century. ${ }^{1}$ Gaston Paris, however, remarks ${ }^{2}$ that mesme is "very old" and benoit appears early by the side of beneoit.

In Anglo-Norman, aside from a few cases of contraction in Brandan and Gaimar, ${ }^{4}$ silencing of pretonic $e$ in hiatus is common with Adgar, ${ }^{6}$ Fantosme, ${ }^{6}$ and Simund de Freine. ${ }^{7}$ Marie de France even shows a beneit in the Espurgatoire. ${ }^{8}$

It seems that pretonic $e$ in hiatus became generally silent in AngloNorman in such words as meisme, beneeit, beneiçun, etc., in about the last quarter of the twelfth century. Simund de Freine shows metsme as twosyllabic and beneiçun as three-syllabic regularly. ${ }^{9}$

## ELIBION

872. A. In the case of ne (NEC), que, si, se (8I) $j e o$, ceo, elision is optional before initial vowels.
ne: $720,918,2439$, etc.
$n^{\prime}$ : 78, 234, 284, etc.
que: 77, 151, 268, 291, etc.
qu': 11, 48, 68, 71, etc.
8i: 555, 942, etc.
$s^{\prime}: 652,703,1610$, etc.
jeo: 16, 18, 1589, etc.
$j$ ': 2237.
ceo: ceo est 929, 1581, etc., ceo ert 1321, ceo en 3315, ceo esteit 3468, etc.
c': c'est 74, 201, etc., c'ert 1156, 2807, c'aveient 2679, c'oi 2283.
Si <sic does not seem to be elided in our poem, 19, 32, 43, 491, etc.
For the article $l i$ nom. sing. the meter demands elision in 1893, 2186, 3227 , etc., and non-elision in $527,559,843$, etc.; li nom. pl. masc. does not lose its vowel: 1951, 3519. Cf. 848.
$L i$ (dat. s. pron.) possibly elides its vowel in $970,1521$.
${ }^{1}$ Of. Nyrop, Gramm. hist. de la lano. franc., I, $\mathbf{y}$ 264, Remarque.
; Cr. Vie de St. Gilles, p. xdill, note.
: Of. Suchler, Soint Auban, p. 27.

- Of. Vising, Etude, p. 82.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Narienlegenden, beneit, -te: 5. 61 p. 22, 6. 305 p. 36, 11.21 p. 58 , etc.; meismes: 5. 220 p. 26, 8. 233 p. 48, 21. 1 p. 130.
- Cf. Suchier, op. cit., Dp. 28 fr.
' C1. Matake, op. cit., pp. 1-lil.
- Of. Warnke, Fabeln, p. civ.
- Of. Matzke, op. cit., p. II and glossary.

Qui preserves its vowel in 200, 1196, 1254, 1264, etc.; for the elision of $q u i=q u e$, cf. § 53.

En apparently loses its vowel after cea in cea en arriere 709, 802, 3242; the MS shows once the elided form (3242). The MS shows that en has no metrical value after $e(e t), 588,758,922,1030,3039,3208 .{ }^{1}$
B. Before $h$ aspirate, atonic $e$ is preserved: le hidus 2590 , de haches 3756. Ll. 2186, 2191, elision in se hasta is doubtful: in the first line li before aversiers may be elided, while in the second haste may be read. In 1. 2062, the $h$ of $H u b b e$ is not aspirate (cf. Ubbe in Gaimar, 2896).

Apparently we have elision of $e$ in: Dist son message hardiëment 2246, and of $a$ in: La veile treient jesqu'a l'hune 1379, unless these lines be emended by leaving out son in 2246 and $l^{\prime}$ in 1379, or the fifth syllable be considered as having no metrical value. Silencing of $h$ aspirate is found in Frère Angier. ${ }^{2}$
C. The feminine form of the poss. adj. appears with elision in m'entente 20, s'espee 2493, s'eschiele 3134; the meter calls for s'offrende 627, s'oreisun $1510,1511, s^{\prime}$ altre gent 1659 and apparently for sue almosniere 577 , sue alme 1316, sue amur 3274, sun eveschié 3213.

## HIATUS

§ 73. Other cases of non-elision of atonic $e$ outside of those mentioned above appear in our text. They are classified under the headings adopted by G. Rydberg. It has to be acknowledged that, at times, an example placed in one section may just as well be placed in another.

Logical hiatus.-Vortigernē unt iluc pris 345 and in 11. 694, 786, 979, 981, 1495, 1713.

Hiatus before the conjunction et.-Fist le servisee e la feste 1765, and in ll. 327, 1369, 1548, 1772, 2021, 2709, 2862, 2907, 2926, 2988, and 1950, if we read Bern instead of Berin.

Metrical hiatus.-Fu departiē en treis sens 112, and in 11. 438, 1515, 1887, 2187, 2722, 2920, 2957, 3148, 3192.

Hiatus after a heavy consonantal group.-Nus vus dirum nostrè avis 1018, and in ll. 882, 1316, 1835, 2192, 2419, 2862, 2981, 3139, 3345, 3381, 3973.

Hiatus may be avoided in 952 by substituting jesques for jesque, and in 3768 by reading lancer e traire, if treier stands for traire.

8 74. The initial vowel of estrange in d'aler en estrange regiün 1276 may fall, but, since regiün may stand for reiün, this case remains doubtful.

In ll. 1301, 3071, vesque (MS evesque) may possibly be read. This form, due to a misdivision of the article, is not of rare occurrence. ${ }^{4}$

There may be a case of synalepha in: Ceo fu Inguar qui la avala 2061.

[^21]875. A few incorrect rimes are to be noted: parz:chalanz 2029, veir:cerchier (MS cerchir) 2673, grante:face 3281. In the following lines, where the meter is either too long or too short, the rimes may have been tampered with by the copyist: fet:net 661, forz:cors 2667, venuz:peresceuz 3853. To be mentioned is arveire:receivre 1829, but creire:arveire 78; cf. creire:receivre, R. de Thèbes 2845, espoire:boivre, G. de Dole 3436.

## IRREGULAR LINES

876. After Anglo-Norman traits and metrical matters have been considered, quite a few lines remain either too long or too short. But the chief causes of these irregular lines, as we find, lie in the omission or addition of short words which may easily be omitted or added by a scribe: conjunctions, prepositions, pronouns, etc. Otherwise, frequently, when emendation is necessary, it is plain from the context that the line has been changed by the scribe. Sometimes the need of substituting the correct form of a word is self-evident and needs no comment. The corrections or tentative corrections that we offer are not forced, but are, on the contrary, justified mostly by the presence elsewhere in the poem of forms similar to the ones that are suggested.

Here follows a list of the emended lines. The six- and ten-syllable lines are printed in full. Corrections aiming to improve the reading of some octosyllabic lines are also offered. The words and syllables in brackets are the emended forms, while those in parentheses appear in the MS.

8 77. Six syllables restored to eight.-
246 E (del un) [de leinns] e [de] tuz blez.
575 L'enfant [Edmund] tost apela.
794 Regut [Dampne] Deus on sa gloire.
993 Ne sanz mon fiz [la mer] passer.
1001 Kant [Offe] de lui s'en ala.
1060 [Si] cum[e] mesmes vostre cors.
1162 Pur Deu [e] en pel[e]rinage.
1316 [En] porterunt s'almë en ciel or, porterunt (s)[ue] almë en ciel.
1789 Kant [l'enfant] Edmund li seint ber.
2063 D'am[bedous] pars trestus s'armerent.
2176 Iluc meint [Edmund] ceo dit hum.
2305 Il seit tesmoign[erre] de mei.
2380 Pur poi que mort [li reis] ne fu.
2451 Tanque le seint[isme] martir.
2719 Par treis (feiz) [feizes], her, her, herl
3144 Kar l'uis voelt [fraindre e] descloer.
3270 ( $E$ ) li grant, [li meien], e li mendre.
3275 Dit en ai [une] grant partie.
3473 Ne nul [hum] ne s'en (perneit) [purveitit] or, ne nul ne s'en [aperceveit].
L. 297 is also two syllables short.

## 8 78. Seven syllables restored to eight.-

A. By the insertion, (1) of a conjunction: e 4, 265, 427, 522, 529, 563, 616, 756, 969, 1288, 1846, 1959, 2004, 2015, 2070, 2152, 2206, 2581, 2638, 2680, 2856, 2900, 2958, 3014, 3091, 3110, 3383, 3555, 3558, 3629, 3758, 3875 (unless contreire should be read as a fem. noun); que 681, 3927; kar 776; ne 1260, 1731 (or jeo), 1826, 2798, 3828, 3890; (2) of an adverb: en 33, 1677, 1834, 2381 (or dunkes), s'en fu 1501; i 483, 488, 1041, 1045, 1337, 1550, 2277, 2432, 2801, 3118, 3170, 3940; si 22; mais estre 31; tut ensement 305; quant 751, the Latin reads: quin in Christo obirt rexa humilis et prregrinus (Memorials, I, 97), hence read en J.C. instead of de J.C.; ja 1138; n' 2137; ne 2688; mult 2170; tant 2913; desqu'a cel 2933; (3) of a preposition: de before pain 162, Jhesu 1572, pestilences 3453; a before estrus 727, segnur 1639, Edmund 2498, dolur 3664; pur sun 2620, hors 3653; (4) of a pronoun: nus 860 ; vus 1065, 1240; il 1033, 3738, il e sa gent 3829; l' 1558, la 1380, le 1825, 2559, li 2653, li en prist 3045; lor 304, 1107, 1378, 2816; sa voiz 2708; cil 3643; (5) of par before ai 3, tres before tut 1720, tuz 2159; (6) of an article: li ussier, li cou, omit e 817, le fiz 1979, li nostre 2253, l'oil 2433, le cors 2469, le fin or 2510, li 4010; la mer 265, 2094; uns sages 1306, un 3476; des 2141; (7) of an adjective: pius 440, chiers 533, nul si chier aveír 1221, nul delai 2281, grant peine 2743; (8) of a noun or a name: Edmund after enfant article elided 499, 603, reis 100, 621, 3700 , riens (or choses and read out for aveit) 663, li duc, l'engres felun 2334, $e$ Deu 3216; (9) of a verb: unt treit 2415, li cors fu 2557, ert enfraite 3562, il mielz pout 3646, unt qui a funz 3696.
B. By the restoration of the correct form (1) of a verb: feseie 6 , grieve 158, furent (sunt) 200, aveient 301, poeient 302 cf. 254, blesmisse 702, avreie 715, feriüm 880, fereie 934 , teneient 1137, deviëz or devriëz 1243, areisona 1204, orent (n'unt) 1448, aore 1519, mainteingë or -ent 1620, avrunt 1621, returneriüm 1661, celeriüm 1679, paruni 1754, munteit 1942, furent 2121, parole 2165 veë̀t 2435, demandereient 2649, ajusterent 2821, esparpile 2842, erreier 3360, hai 3375, 3909, osereient 3606, firent (read si MS son) 3729, choisie 3784, 3813, feseient 3840; (2) of a noun: Hunestanestun 1498, 1513 (cf. Memorials, I, 100), runcerei 2702, curbe 3157, Westsexe (Wrstseaxas) 3347, forteresce 3796, Kanut or Kenut 3987; (3) of an article: la parfin 446, la fertre 3086, la cunté 3528; (4) of an adjective: trestute 3932; (5) of a pronoun: les escrist 3472; (6) of an adverb: cume sage, cume leal, omit et 1726.
C. By restoring an 8 to the following: sages 887 , cointes 936 , jesques 952, 1537, a merveiles 1492, poeples 2257, evesques 3073, sages 3482, riches 3745.
D. By the substitution of another form: (1) verb: resurst 142 , ameine 851, purparolent or insert $i$ before parolent 1392, esgarde (or with hiatus gard® e) 1524, poeit 1920, recunquerre 3440, demustre 3635, feseit 3666, orent 3733, esteient 3896; (2) substantive: feiēe 1096, selve 2569, 2582, 2666; cf. 2693; (3) adverb: forment 27, dunques 1767, 3995, de ci quë, or en Northumbre ${ }^{1}$

[^22]391, ententivement 1832, neis 1900, ne 2134, issi 2229, ainceis 3185, 3427, sicume 3239, 3663, 4002, ici 3322; (4) preposition: ovek 24, 3406, devers 1182, 1529, de devers 1366, desoz 1940, 2850, apres 2800, envers 3810; (5) li for le: 527, 559, 843, 1433, 1758, 3142; (6) other words: depuis 1696, nosire (le) 1712, de ses (des) 3792, ambedous 3754.
E. By the addition of an initial $i$ to demonstratives: icest 688, icel 1768, icele 3041, icels 3112, iceo 1226, 2736, 3611.
F. By the transposition of words: De sen acu 1702, en France reveit 3416.
\& 79. Nine syllables restored to eight.一A. By the omission: (1) of a conjunction: e 93, 474, 481, 791, $922,1496,1600,1654,1693,1928,2031$, 2153, 2346, 2512, 2844, 2966, 3207, 3548, 3630, 3929, 3947, 3954; (2) of an adverb: $i 181,3778$, si $260,2472,2564$, pas 1076, 2526, 3425, en 1638, 3936, 4029, la 2061, ja 2475, mult 3618; (3) of a preposition: a 135, 140, 153, 580, 1682 , 1728, 2833, 3776, 3964, de 168, 659, 3925, en 666, 3026, par 861, 1658, hors 1502, pur 2372, od 3145; (4) of a pronoun: il 28, 221, 344, 582, 588, 1351, 1936, 1995, 2082 (read de for del), 3028, 3113, 3223, 3730, 3884, 3988; jeo 1248, vus 569, 1730, 2276, se 2105, 2223, que 822, qui 4017, les 3711, sa 1666, son (read l'anel and de instead of en) 1672; (5) of an article: li 667, le 1999, 2478, 3540, 3823, 4006, la 1201, 2086, 2536, 3193, les 419, 3551, un (or read dancel instead of juvencel) 1977; (6) of an adjective: bon 716, 1653, grant 2838, seint 3570; (7) of a noun: dame (read $K^{\prime}$ a vus ne seit) 1223 d'or 3092; (8) of a verb: ai (read reçui) 2311, est 2906, ad 3279.
B. By a change usually demanded by the context (1) of the verb: suelent 46, valt 61, morut 147, aveit 148, 2022, entre demandent 203, oēnt 266, trespercent (omit $K e$ ) 315, out 445, 739, 741, 1552, 3480, suelt 655, prit 696, afiëz 729, seit 1068, poet 1094, 1528, 2073, 2077, escrieve 1331, creoée 1404, veient 1417, abat 1470, orer 1549, suelt 1568, ert 1933, vint 2107, fu 2122, pout 2188, haste 2191, dunt 2215, geinst 2284, ot <audir 2297, mené 2364, desirent 2612, l'overte (a overte) 2728, funt 2950, issent 2970, parut 3000, cumbat 3781; (2) of the noun or proper name: prince 105, foil 316, Lindsie 395, 398, vis 1167, 3644, Bern (Latin Wern) 1894, 1937, pere 2006, cumpagne 2053, message 2243, ministre 2378, 2560, mandemenz 2438, decolere 2493, branche 2698, enfertez 3454, Edred or Ealored (the Latin reads Edered) 3505, vesquens 3638, Ulfketel 3748, croisseïz 3819, soing 3852, espié 3870, erté (or read $n$ 'out) 3906 ; (3) of an adjective: greindre 1012, veire 1264,1265 , veir 1265, triste 2645; (4) of a pronoun, article, preposition, adverb, conjunction: cest (cesti) 808, cel (celi) 3713; d' (del) 400, al (of le) 1164, al (de) 2563, de (del) 2579; oveke (ovekes) 2660; ariers 251, la 642, unc 743, 1906, 2946, 3243, 3420, longes (read out) 2991, d'iluc 3175, ne (mie) 3854; e (de) 1647.
C. By substituting another word in faulty passages: puer (MS penser) 53, a seür (asez seur) 651, tel (cele) 1114, nel (nela) 1203, dreit (e veit) 1525, le (l'enfant) 1678, ne (de li) 1943, prest (prestez) 2028, entre (a tere) 2763, meint (oyent) 3107, jut ( $i$ ust) 3161, dunt grace (seit grante) 3281, oes (ose) 3772.
D. By the transposition of words: les oent de joie 47, En ordre (dist) [vit] cum (veü) [dit] aveit 1303, li reis seintisme 2330.
> 880. Ten syllables or more restored to eight.-

> 614 ( $E$ ere) [eirre] e[n] chimin (e) matin e seir.
> 643 (Iluc) [la] (li) prist maladie si grant.
> $796 \mathrm{Ne}(\mathrm{de})$ cil (Offe) [qui] Seint $A(i)$ elbrict trai.
> 874 En maladie dunt (apres) murut.
> 1173 Avis li fu que (le reis) (se tendi) s'estendi.
> 1598 Pur le realms Offe (mes) overreient.
> 1974 Kantes cilts e (kantes) mansirins.
> 2332 E li mes(sagier) out passé le soil.
> $2480 D_{e}$ (tres)tute la falde (de) [as] berbis.
> 2523 (Cil Dieus) pur saner nus en cests vie.
> 2889 Ne (a ceo) feissent enterrement.
> 2771 Si oblic del tut (en tut) esteit.
> $2874 N^{\prime} i$ vindrent (mie) sovenerement.
> 2968 Tut(e) (la) [le] clergié de la citt.
> 3030 Que nul(e) damisel(e) de juvent.
> 3138 (En le) [el] mustier la ou (il) voleit estre.
> 3523 Un(e) (bele)miracle, une grant vertu.
> 3654 ( $E$ ) li diables (resceive le malbaillie) [l'out on baillie].
> 3693 ( $E$ ) en un(e) ord(e) putel qu'ert parfunz.

§81. In some octosyllabic lines, the meter is right, but the reading is obviously wrong. The following corrections may be suggested. Emendations introduced by Mrs. Ravenel in her edition are not mentioned again here. N'en ot 82, levé est 119, la pais 134, galerne 134, ruiste 186, nagent 218, graanz orent 392, asazées 416 (cf. 413) order in prose: Le pais est establi de treis cuntrées asazees de bien, 421 est, that is 'East,' nurrir 571, estrees 640, pas ne 656, [Le] rei enoint e (umble) pel[e]rin 752; . cf. \& 78A2, \& 69, l'escrepe 783, ne cil 795, sanz engan 803, einz (en) 869, pas ne me merveil 937, feimes 947, fusum 950, en serement 990, unt (out) 1013, trovees veires cume durent 1124 (omit estre), ert forçur (enforcir) 1197, ne li (na li) 1205, l'esgarde 1207, treẻt 1219, veire 1264, s'esluigne 1402, n'unt vent ne bise 1413, the second half is not sure 1457: li sigle eigier or eiguier with est (or sunt) understood: 'the sail is watery or wet,' or without a comma after unc (MS unt): 'they never had to spread the "watery" or "wet" sail" (the form aiguier is found in Godefroy), l'asen 1475, queste or quiert 1585, fesimes 1676, oure 1725, voleir sunt paruni 1754, encuntre 1821 (no semi-colon after 1820), enrichiz 1911, amanantiz 1912, the reading seems hopeless 1970, possibly: lanier, cuart, malveis, respit! (or maldit), cunreerent 2064, veziez 2087, estenglesche and muntenesche 2119-20 on account of beste (in 2119 hiatus with tere), qu'i erent 2131, sil 2221, nen or n'en aiez 2227, recoore 2420, puisque oie l'unt or puisque of l'unt 2726, rechan 2734, l'esprova 3083, oscur 3121, seür 3122, nes eüst (ne les out) 3186, numbrez 3289, aümbrez 3290, des Franceis 3421, Alure 3441,
recoorier 3452, a l'ure 3568, a or en l'entur 3624, esluignerent 3741, nes (ne) 3845, de fi 3958, une envaie 4023. Add pout 2136.

## List of Unusual Words

aate, convenable, approprie 1492 (not in Godefroy with this meaning).
acks, par aces e par amuntés 2043. I have not been able to find the same phrase elsewhere. Denis is fond of pairs of words expressing the same idea, e.g., que par chemins que par estrées 640, and so: 324, 758, 2697, 2939, 3740. Here it apparently refers to the risings of the waves and would mean: 'par flots montants et ascendants.' Cf. le flot montant 2108 where the flow of the tide is understood, and also accessa maris, recessa maris, accessus maris, in Ducange, Gloss., s.v. "Accessa."
aguè, MS agurve aide 1585.
aloer, placer, mettre. MS alient=aliuent, ind. pres. 6, 1388.
amuntées, 2043. See aces.
aramir, rassembler, raccoler 302, areimer 254.
arveire, illusion, mensonge 78, 1829.
asen, direction, chemin 1475.
asenéement, sagement, doctement 512. This word may stand for enseignéement.
barate, chose pénible, embarras 3280, moveir 3808 causer de la confusion, de l'embarras.
batestal, tapage, bruit 3163.
belbelet, objet d'ornement 3091. Cf. Fr. bibelot. (For a discussion of this word, see W. Foerster, Zeitschrift f. Rom. Phil., XXII, 263, 509; and Miss Pope, Etude, p. 90).
besorder, souiller 2164.
bleste, motte de terre 2684.
bo, bracelet, anneau 3092.
boëline, bouline 1381, 1455, MS le boellin. This word is usually feminine in O.F. In Denis it appears to be masc., unless the article be omitted and boēline be read. (As for le for la, cf. $\& 48$, and for omission of atonic e, cf. § 65.)
compasser, ordonner, régler, bâtir, mesurer, arranger 37. The MS has compensa for compassa (or better compessa by Darmesteter's law). Compas applied to a metrical form occurs in the Leys d'Amors, Appel's Prov. Chrest., 1912, pp. 197 ff.; cf. also: Vous, grant seigneur, vous, damoisel, Qui a compas, qui a cisel Tailliez et compassez les rimes Equivoques et leonimes. Gautier de Coincy, from Tobler, Versbau4, p. 157. Bédier-Aubry, Chansons de Croisade, pp. 222, 225, have another instance of compenser, but the reading is doubtful.
contemple, temps, circonstance 3465.
costé, côte 1401 (not in Godefroy).
cruciement, tourment 2516.
cruistre, grincer 1965.
decoleür, bourreau 2445, 2454.
desglagier, faire périr par le glaive, la lance, 2148, 2350. Cf. in Godefroy deglavier, deglaiver, sorglaigier and from Wace, Brut 8738, deglavets (var. deglateiz).
deshanekier, Oter les voiles ou les cordes qui tenaient les voiles serrees sur les vergues 1376 (Godefroy).
enberser, frapper, percer à coups de flèches 2457. (Not in Godefroy.) Cf. berser, tirer de l'arc.
encrismé, for encresmé, "anointed" 762, 3878. Here like Fr. fieffé in coquin fieffé.
engan, tromperie, fourberie 803. MS seneghan $=$ senz engan.
erreier, être dans l'erreur 3360.
espeisse, fourre 2699.
estaǐf, qui sêjourne, s'arrête. MS estais 412, 2172, MS astais 3880. The meaning of this word has been often misunderstood. Constans (Rom. de Thebes, Voc.) translates "tranquille"; Bartsch-Horning (La lang. et la litt. franc., 1887, Gloss.), "dépouillé du lard"; G. Paris (Romania, XVIII, 149) correctly, "qui n'avance pas, qui reste en place"; W. Foerster (Cligés, 1910, Gloss.), "lässig."
estuchier, enfoncer 2423. Cf. estoquier in Godefroy.
fierges, chaines, entraves 3176.
fricun, (?) (Not in Godefroy.) Perhaps an error for bricun, scélerat, lache 2333.
gaagnable, labourable, cultivable 220.
gaägneür, laboureur 242, 2158.
galerne, vent de nord-ouest; here by extension=le nord 134.
gradnt, concession, don 392. MS grant.
grifain, épervier 2540; this is the only definition quoted by Godefroy. Here apparently an adj. meaning "cruel"; also cf. Italian Grifagno and Grant guerre aurunt ki mult lur iert griffaine. Jordan Fantosme, Chronique, l. 695.
hallos (?), embarcation 2031. (Not in Godefroy with this meaning.) Cf. the following: hallope 'filet de peche' (Romania, XIX, 349) and salope, same meaning (Dict. Gén.); mariesalope, bâtiment qui porte en mer les vases, les sables (Dict. Gen.); it is known that a ship and a net may be called by the same name, e.g., Fr. gabare; saloppe, adj. Cotgrave (1611) looks upon this word as Orléanais; Fr. chaloupe appears in D'Aubigné (see Littré, Dict.) and in Cotgrave; Eng. shallop. Hallos rimes with partros. Partros makes no sense; possibly we should read
par tros. Tros means 'tronçons,' 'morceaux,' 'portions' (cf. Godefroy). Here by extension of meaning 'groupes,' 'sections.' Cf. troche 'quantite' 'troupe.'
ham, village 2199. (Not in Godefroy.)
hanekier, equiper, mettre 1458.
harpun, objet d'ornement 3093. Cf. N'arunt anials as deiz, ne harpuns al col mis. Serm. s. le jug. de Dieu (from Godefroy who gives no definition).
hé, hatne 1948; or possibly this word may stand for hie or hié, attaque, violence.
holgurdine(?), MS holgurdins, nautical term, meaning unknown 1456. Possibly the same word as bagordinge (Seint Gilles, 887) and gurdingues (Wace's Brut, 11505).
justise, juge 2304.
knivet, canif, petit couteau 347.
lof, some implement or contrivance for altering the course of a ship (from Murray's N.E.D.) 1378, 1454. Cf. Eng. luff.
mielf, doux comme le miel 1500.
molle, (?) ind. pres. 3 from inf. moller, mouler, faire 3559. Here possibly, by extension of meaning, 'machiner,' 'projeter.' Cf. Eng. mull, work mentally, cogitate (Webster's Dict.).
muntencis, montagnard 2120. (Not in Godefroy.) On account of beste, we probably should read muntenesche.
musceouns, (?) 347. (Not in Godefroy.) The context demands "en cachette," and this word is apparently connected with muscier = cacher. It may also be the same word as musceison, en musceisons, 'cachette,' 'en cachette' quoted by Godefroy, s.v. muçoison.
nusche, bijou, collier, boucle 3092.
partros, (?) 2032. See hallos.
pelfer, dérober, piller 3737; Eng. pilfer.
pensé de purpens pensée perfide, déloyale 1821.
perceü (?), présomptueux, immodeste, insensé 3854. MS peresceuz. Cf. 88 and 830 . Also with this meaning:

Or pierderay le pris dont j'anoie granment, Nices et perceüs et plain de fol talent.
-Chevalier au Cygne 28151 (from Godefroy s.v. perçoivre).
Fiers et estouz et parceüz
-Claris, 8364 (from Tobler, Verm. Beitr., I, 147, note).
pumpée, faste, pompe 2315. Godefroy defines: 'arrogance.'
putel, bourbier, mare 3693.
quereür, chercheur 2711.
riflei, taillis, fourre 2681; ruflei, 2567, 2584. Godefroy quotes rifeiz only. Riffei and rifleiz are doublets like joncheiz and jonchei, ronceiz and roncei.
rolle, papier, parchemin roulé; liste $\mathbf{3 5 6 0}$.
selve, bois 2693.
sovenierement, MS sovenerement souvent, fréquemment 2874.
suchier, penser, supposer 1594, 2683.
sufort, creuser en-dessous 3147.
terel, cap, promontoire, terrain 1491. The Latin reads promontoriou (Memorials, I, 99). (Not in Godefroy.) Terrel and not terral may be the O.F. form for Fr. terreau (cf. Dict. Gen., s.v. terreau).
trestase, eperdu, bouleverse 3659. (Not in Godefroy.) This word is found also in the Reimpredigt, st. 62 and in the Modwenna 1488c. (For discussion, cf. Suchier, Reimpredigt, p. 74, n., 62 f.).
vezié, prudent, ruse 1819, 3788.
welcomer, faire bon accueil 1530.

## Llist of Propar Nambs

Aelfwine, Alfwin, English name 3892
Aieldred, Ethelred, English king 3868
Aidred, Ethelred, English king 3513
Alain, king of Armorica 159
Alemagne, 393
Alurt, Alfred, English king 34791
Ambresbire, Amesbury 339 (Mem., II, p. 147)

Angle, Anglia 383
Armoniche, Armorica 152
Arthur, British king 139
Athelston, Athelstan, English king 3503
Atte, Attle, English king 1565
Atleburg, Attleborough 1564
Bederichesworthe, Beodrichsworth, modern Bury 2901 (Mem., I, IV)

Bederiz, Beodrich, a king 2902 (Mem., I, IV, note)
Berin, Baerin, a son of Lothebroc 1937, 1894:
Bretagne 128
Bretun 136
Brut, British king 129
Bunde, a priest 3649
Bures, English town 1759
Castre, Caistor( ?), a town in Norfolk 1625
Charles li Chalf, French king, 3401
Costentin, a pope 787
Cumberland 3931
Dampnedieus 523

[^23]Dancis, the Danes, 3365
Danemarche 3917
Dieus 22
Eadred, Ethered, English king, 3361
Ealured, MS Ealured 3505
Edgar, English king, 3507
Edmunt, Edmun, Saint Edmund 80, 3294
Edward, English king 3499
Edvi, English king 3506
Eliseu, the prophet Elisha 3182
Engleis, the English 177
Engletere 107
Escardeburg, Scarborough 236 (Mem., II, 144)
Escoce 3905
Estangle, East-Anglia 411
Estsexe, Essex 417
Flandres 1422
Prance 3395
Franceis 3412
Frise, Friesland, 1411
Gainesburc, Gheniesburc, Gainsborough 3934, 3905
Gales, Wales 323
Galtier, French name 3890
Gernemue, Yarmouth 4004 (Mem., II, 249)

Guteis, the Goths 178
Gutlande, Gothland 178
Hailesdun, English place 2175 (cf. Corolla S. Ead., p. liii; Mem., II, 198)
Hamtune, Southampton 386
Henge, Hengist, 327
Hors, Horse, Horsa 327, 343
Hospital, Knights Hospitallers of St.
John 628

Holldande, Holland 1415
Hubbe, a son of Lothebroc 2088
Hubert, a bishop 1763
Humbre, the river Humber or read Northumbre $=$ Northumbris 391
Hunestanestun, MS Hunstanestun Hunstantun 1498

Jerusalem 447, 658
Jhesu Christ 448
Kanut(?), MS Knout, ${ }^{2}$ English king 3987
Kadawaladre, Kadero-4 MS Rad-,British king 140, 145

Lefstan, a viscount 3527
Len, Lynn, 1476 (Mem., II, 179)
Leofwine, English name 3891 (Mem., II, 246)
Linde, a baron 397
Lindsie, MS Lindeseie, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Lindsey 395
Lothebroc, Danish invader 1880
Maidenesboure, Maidensbower, a promontory 1495
Marie [de France], the author of the Lais and Fables 35
Markiers, Morcar, English name 3893 (Mem., II, 246)
Martin, a pope 3476
Mortimer, a prominent Anglo-Norman family 1568

Northfolk, Norfolk 417
Northumberland 3932 Norhumbreland 2054
Normandie 3390
Norwiz, Norwich 1721
Offe, king of East-Anglia, 441
Orefort, Orford 2100 (Mem., II, 196)

[^24]Paris 3395
Partonops, the romance of Partonopeus de Blois 25
Pilate, Pontius Pilate 2366
Pisamus, Denis, the author of La vie Seint Edmunt 16, 3279

Robert, French name 3890
Richier, French name 3889
Ringhemere, English town 3857 (Mem., II, 245)
Rome 786
Samarie, Samaria 3194
Sarasin 2875
Sathanas 2314
Sesnes, MS Sechnes, the Saxons 103
Sessoigne, Saxony 452
Sessoigneis, the Saxons 769
Seint Aielbrict $76{ }^{1}$
Seint Augustin 1871
Seint Jorge 641
Seint Michiel 1315
Seint Pere, Saint Peter 1132
Selande, Zeeland 1421
Sivers, Sigferth, English name 3893 Yngar, a son of Lothebroc 1893 (Mem., II, 246)
${ }^{1}$ The Latin reads: Fidelbertus (Mem., I, 97).
${ }^{3}$ This word counts for one syllable here and also in Gaimar, but for two syllables in Wace's Roman de Rou, paesim.

Sture, the River Stour 1778
Suain, Sweyn, Danish king 3703
Suaneis, the Suiones or Swedes 3715
Suthfolk, Suffolk 417
Tamise, the Thames 386
Temple, Knights of the Temple 628
Theodred, a bishop 3071
Thinghowe, Thinghow 3549
Tiefort, Thetford 3742 (Mem., II, 242)
Ulfketel, MS Ulfeketal, Earl of EastAnglia 3748 (Mem., II, 242)
Uterpendragun, British king 135
Vortigerne, British king 133
Westmeriland, Westmoreland 3931
Westsexs, Wessex, 3347
Westwikins, the western Vikings, 3716
William, French name 3890
Winedeis, the Wends 3716 (Mem., II, 241)

Witheme, the river Witham(?) 402
(Mom., II, 218)

Wacc: Roman da Rou poerin.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For another French Life of Saint Edmund, cf. Paul Meyer, Hiet. litt. de la Prance, XXXIII, 346, and Romania, XXXVI, 533 II.
    ${ }^{2}$ The prologue of the poem was published by F. Michel in his Rapports au ministre In the Collection de documents inddits sur l'histoire de Prance, pp. 258-61, Paris 1839, and also by H. L. D. Ward in the Catalogue of Romances, I, 701 II.
    ${ }^{2}$ Reviewed by G. Parls, Romania, XXII, 170.

    - Reviewed by T. A. Jenlins, Modern Language Noles, XXII (1907), 194-96; by E. Faral, Romania, XLI, 446; by J. Vising, Vollmoller's kritischer Jahresbericht, XII, I, 211, II, 135; by Fd. Stengel, ibid., IX, I, 145; and by J. Bonnard, ibid., X, II, 106.
    - Reviewed by J. Vising, Vollmoller's kritischer Jahresbericht, XII, II, 136.
    - C1. Memorials, I, 6-7.
    ' Of. Memorials, I, 93-103; and Introd., pp. 2xdiv and xxxv.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Memorials, I, 3-25, and Introd., pp. xxil-xaiv.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Memorials, I, 26-92, and Introd., pp. xxviil-xxix.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Memorials, II, 242 and 240, notes.

    - Cf. Semeonis Monachi opera omnia, II, 139 fi., ed. by Arnold, London, 1885, Rolls Series.
    s Cf. History of the English, Book VI, chap. ill fi., pp. 175 fi., ed. by Arnold, London, 1879, Rolls Series.
    - Cf. W. Stubbs, Chronica Rogeri de Hoveden. I, xxvi, London, 1868, Rolls Series; his Historia Saxonum appears almost litteratim in Roger of Hovedon's own Chronicles. Cf. W. Stubbs, op. cit., I, xxvil, and 71 fi.

    7 CP. Memorials, II, 228, note.
    Memorials, I, Introd., lxvi.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Christian von Troyes Werke, Wilhelmeleben, IV, clxx.
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